H$_2$ Lyman and Werner band lines and their sensitivity for a variation of the proton–electron mass ratio in the gravitational potential of white dwarfs
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ABSTRACT

Recently we published an accurate analysis of molecular hydrogen absorption in the photospheres of the white dwarf stars GD133 and GD29-38, previously observed with the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph aboard the Hubble Space Telescope. Constraints were derived on a possible dependence of the proton–electron mass ratio on gravitational fields that are 10 000 times stronger than at the Earth’s surface. Here we present further details of that study, in particular a re-evaluation of the spectrum of the $B^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ Lyman bands relevant for the prevailing temperatures (12 000–14 000 K) of the photospheres. The emphasis is on the calculation of so-called $K_i$-coefficients, that represent the sensitivity of each individual line to a possible change in the proton–electron mass ratio. Such calculations were performed by semi-empirical methods and by ab initio methods providing accurate and consistent values. A full listing is provided for the molecular physics data on the Lyman bands (wavelengths $\lambda_i$, line oscillator strengths $f_i$, radiative damping rates $\Gamma_i$, and sensitivity coefficients $K_i$) as required for the analyses of H$_2$-spectra in hot dwarf stars. A similar listing of the molecular physics parameters for the $C^1\Pi_g - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ Werner bands is provided for future use in the analysis of white dwarf spectra.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Molecular hydrogen is the most abundant molecular species in the Universe, but its spectrum is not so well suited for direct observations, because the strong dipole-allowed transitions in the Lyman ($B^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$) and Werner ($C^1\Pi_g - X^1\Sigma_g^+$) systems fall in the range of the vacuum ultraviolet (VUV), hence at wavelengths for which the Earth’s atmosphere is opaque. The first observation of molecular hydrogen in space was reported in 1970 (Carruthers 1970) using a rocket-borne spectrometer observing from high altitudes, therewith evading atmospheric absorption of the VUV radiation. Subsequently, absorption lines in the VUV spectrum of molecular hydrogen were observed with the Copernicus satellite telescope (Morton & Dinerstein 1976). Later the VUV-absorption spectrum of H$_2$ was observed with the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (Moos et al. 2000) and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST; Meyer et al. 2001), all satellite-based instruments.

Spectra of molecular hydrogen in highly redshifted objects, e.g. in the line of sight of quasars, can be observed with ground-based telescopes provided the redshift of the absorbing cloud is at $z > 2$. Early observations from Earth with tentative assignment of molecular hydrogen were performed by Levshakov & Varshalovich (1985). Surveys of damped Lyman $\alpha$ systems in search for pronounced H$_2$ absorption features were conducted by Ledoux, Petitjean & Sri-anand (2003). The molecular hydrogen spectra in highly redshifted objects were analysed and compared with classical (Abgrall et al. 1993a,b,c) and laser-based laboratory investigations of the Lyman bands (Philip et al. 2004; Ubachs & Reinhold 2004; Hollenstein et al. 2006), initially resulting in some indications for a possible variation of the proton–electron mass ratio ($\mu = m_p/m_e$) at cosmological redshifts (Ivanchik et al. 2005; Reinhold et al. 2006). Further detailed and accurate studies of molecular hydrogen at high redshift resulted in constraints on a varying proton–electron mass ratio (King et al. 2008; Malec et al. 2010; Van Weerenburg et al. 2011) now yielding an overall limit of $|\Delta\mu/\mu| < 10^{-5}$ (Bagdonaite et al. 2014b).

Fundamental physics theories predict variation of fundamental constants on cosmological temporal and spatial scales, but the
couplings between light scalar fields causing those variations may also generate dependences of fundamental constants on local gravitational fields (Magueijo, Barrow & Sandvik 2002). The latter phenomena were explored recently in search of a possible dependence of the fine structure constant \( \alpha \) (Berengut et al. 2013) and the proton–electron mass ratio \( \mu \) (Bagdonaite et al. 2014a) in the strong gravitational fields prevailing in the photosphere of white dwarf stars. The search for a \( \mu \)-dependence on a gravitational potential as strong as \( 10^4 \) times that at the Earth’s surface was based on \( \text{H}_2 \) Lyman band absorptions in white dwarfs GD133 and GD29-38 initially discovered by Xu et al. (2013, 2014), and reanalyzed by Bagdonaite et al. (2014a).

For an analysis of the \( \text{H}_2 \) absorption spectrum in the hot environment of white dwarf photospheres, at typical temperatures of 12 000–14 000 K, accurate molecular physics data are required on excitations from highly excited rovibrational states in the Lyman band system. It is the purpose of this paper to collect and re-evaluate the information on laboratory wavelengths \( \lambda_i \) for the relevant Lyman bands, and perform a calculation on sensitivity coefficients \( K_i \) to \( \mu \)-variation for the relevant lines. Together with existing information on line oscillator strengths for the transitions \( f_i \) and natural lifetime parameters \( \Gamma_i \) for the upper states (Abgrall, Roueff & Drira 2000), a constraining value for \( |\Delta \mu / \mu| \) can be derived in the presence of the strong gravitational fields near the surface of white dwarfs. In Section 2, an evaluation of the wavelengths \( \lambda_i \) will be performed, which will be listed alongside with values for \( f_i \) and \( \Gamma_i \). In Section 3, a calculation of sensitivity coefficients \( K_i \) will be presented, following different methods, one based on the semi-empirical analysis of mass dependences of level energies, and the other based on an ab initio calculation. The combined molecular physics information on \( \text{H}_2 \) will be applied in Section 4 for the analysis of white dwarf spectra of GD29-38 and GD133, observed with the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph on the HST (COS–HST).

### 2 Molecular Data on the Lyman Bands

Parts of the absorption spectra of the photospheres of white dwarfs GD29-38 (WD2327+049) and GD133 (WD1116+026) obtained with COS–HST are plotted in Figs 1 and 2. The two white dwarfs were observed with the G130M grating centred around 1300 Å. The spectral resolution was \( \approx 20 \) 000. The total exposure times were 9032 and 13 460 s for G29–38 and GD133, respectively. The observed spectra (Xu et al. 2013, 2014) extend over the range 1144–1444 Å, with \( B^2 \Sigma_u^+ - X^1 \Sigma_u^+ \) Lyman band lines unambiguously assignable in the window 1298 – 1444 Å, and tentative indications of weak \( C^3 \Pi_u - X^1 \Sigma_u^+ \) Werner band transitions in the range 1144 – 1290 Å. The spectra reflect the high temperatures in the white dwarf photospheres, by the multiple vibrationally – and rotationally – excited levels of the ground electronic state. The most intense lines belong to the \( B^2 \Sigma_u^+ (v^\prime = 0) - X^1 \Sigma_u^+ (v^\prime = 3, 4) \) bands of the Lyman system.

At the high temperatures in white dwarf photospheres populations of vibrational levels up to \( v^\prime = 6 \) must be considered. Based on the calculations of Franck–Condon factors (Spindler 1969), it can be deduced what bands in the Lyman system produce most of the absorption intensity. Whereas for excitation from \( v^\prime = 0 \rightarrow 1 \) a sequence of vibrational bands contributes to the absorption, in case of excitation from \( v^\prime = 3 \) the Franck–Condon factors yield most of the strength for excitation to \( v^\prime = 0 \), while in case of excitation from \( v^\prime = 4 \rightarrow 5 \) excitation to a single \( v^\prime = 0 \) level outweighs all other contributions by over an order of magnitude. This pattern is reflected in the calculations of individual line oscillator strengths \( f_i \) for the

![Figure 1. Spectrum of the white dwarf GD29-38 in the range 1310–1412 Å with a model spectrum (calculated for a temperature of 14 500 K) overlaid by the (red) solid curve. The contributing \( \text{H}_2 \) lines in the Lyman bands are indicated by the (blue) vertical sticks. The regions dominated by \( \text{C I} \) lines (from the white dwarf photosphere) and \( \text{C II} \) lines (from the interstellar medium) are left out of the model calculations.](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/)

In order to model the spectra at the highest accuracy possible the wavelengths $\lambda_{\nu}$ of the relevant Lyman absorption lines have to be included in a model. The most accurate wavelengths follow from listed level energies for excited $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v, J$ and ground $X^1 \Sigma^+_g, v, J$ quantum states. Starting from level energies is the superior approach over using listed experimental wavelengths, since those level energy values represent averages over several well-calibrated spectral lines. This approach was followed in the two-step determination of $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v, J$ level energies (Salumbides et al. 2008), first measuring anchor line energies of some intermediate states in H$_2$ (Hannemann et al. 2006). A subsequent study of transitions between excited states, e.g. the $E F^1 \Sigma^+_g - B^1 \Sigma^+_u$ system, provides the high accuracy on $B^1 \Sigma^+_u$ level energies from the large data base of lines measured in a broad wavelength interval, including the infrared. These combined procedures resulted in level energies for $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v = 0–2$ up to $J = 12$, for $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v = 3$ up to $J = 9$ and for $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v = 4–6$ up to $J = 6$ at accuracies generally at 0.001 cm$^{-1}$ or better (Bailly et al. 2010). These precision experiments do not extend to very high rotational quantum numbers, needed for the analysis of the white dwarf spectra. For those higher rotational states, the most accurate values are those of Abgrall et al. (1993a), where level energies are determined from analysis of thousands of lines observed in Lyman band emissions obtained from a low-pressure discharge (Abgrall et al. 1993c). The values of $B^1 \Sigma^+_u, v = 0–6$ level energies were calculated up to $J = 28$ in an ab initio model in which the input potential curves were slightly adapted to represent the experimental data at an accuracy of 0.1 cm$^{-1}$. These calculations were further tested in a VUV-laser-based experiment performed in a plasma in which high rovibrational levels in the $B – X$ system were probed, yielding agreement within the estimated uncertainties of a few 0.1 cm$^{-1}$ (Gabriel et al. 2009).

The comparison of the level energies from Bailly et al. (2010) and Abgrall et al. (1993c) for low-$J$ quantum numbers yield good agreement, but with a noticeable systematic offset that is still within the estimated uncertainty of the latter. Based on this comparison, an energy correction of between 0.04 and 0.06 cm$^{-1}$ was applied for each vibrational series in the data set of Abgrall et al. (1993c). The combined data set comprising the low-$J$ level values from Bailly et al. (2010) and the (corrected) high-$J$ level energies from Abgrall et al. (1993c) was employed in the calculation of the transition wavelengths for the analysis. Similar procedures were also applied to the level energies of the $C^1 \Pi_u$ state, where the low-$J$ level energies were taken from Bailly et al. (2010). For the high-$J$ levels, energy corrections of between 0.04 and 0.13 cm$^{-1}$ was applied for the vibrational series of the $C^1 \Pi_u$ states, and 0.06–0.11 cm$^{-1}$ for the $C^1 \Pi_u$ states from Abgrall et al. (1993c).

For the ground state, one may rely on the full-fledged ab initio calculations of all 302 bound $X^1 \Sigma^+_g, v, J$ quantum levels in the ground state of H$_2$. Those values have been calculated, including Born–Oppenheimer energies, adiabatic and non-adiabatic corrections as well as relativistic and quantum–electrodynamic contributions by Komasa et al. (2011). Their accuracy at 0.001 cm$^{-1}$ has been tested in a number of precision experimental studies on the ground state level energies (Salumbides et al. 2011; Kassi & Camargo 2014; Niu et al. 2014; Tan et al. 2014) well verifying the claimed accuracy.

Wavelengths for lines in the $B^1 \Sigma^+_u - X^1 \Sigma^+_g, (v', v'')$ Lyman bands are calculated from these data sets on level energies for excited and ground states. Values for the wavelengths and their accuracies, varying between 0.001 and 0.1 cm$^{-1}$ (on a frequency/energy scale), are listed for vibrational levels $v' = 0–4$ and $v'' = 0–7$ in the

Lyman bands as performed by Abgrall et al. (2000). Similarly, high rotational angular momentum states are populated, peaking at $J = 9$ for temperatures of 12 000 K, while transitions originating from states up to $J = 23$ are found to contribute to the absorption in the spectra shown in Figs 1 and 2 (Bagdonaite et al. 2014a).

Figure 2. Spectrum of the white dwarf GD133 in the range 1310–1412 Å with a model spectrum (calculated for a temperature of 11 800 K) overlaid by the (red) solid curve.
et al. 2000), and the sensitivity coefficients next section.


Table 1. Molecular data on H2 transitions observed in spectra of hot white dwarf photospheres. Specified are vibrational quantum numbers in excited B, v' and ground states X, v'', specific lines in the P and R branches, wavelengths \( \lambda_i \), with uncertainties in between parentheses given in units of last digit; sensitivity coefficients obtained from ab initio \( K_i^a \) and semi-empirical \( K_i^\prime \) calculations (dimensionless); line oscillator strengths \( f_i \) (dimensionless); and natural broadening coefficients \( \Gamma_i \) in s\(^{-1}\). The full listing is included in a Supplementary document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B, v'</th>
<th>X, v''</th>
<th>( \lambda_i (\text{Å}) )</th>
<th>( K_i^a )</th>
<th>( K_i^\prime )</th>
<th>( f_i )</th>
<th>( \Gamma_i (10^9 \text{s}^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R(9)</td>
<td>1313.376 434 (6)</td>
<td>-0.1062</td>
<td>-0.1061</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P(9)</td>
<td>1324.595 010 (6)</td>
<td>-0.1146</td>
<td>-0.1143</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R(11)</td>
<td>1331.963 484 (6)</td>
<td>-0.1199</td>
<td>-0.1199</td>
<td>0.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P(11)</td>
<td>1345.177 888 (6)</td>
<td>-0.1293</td>
<td>-0.1291</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P(13)</td>
<td>1368.662 949 (7)</td>
<td>-0.1450</td>
<td>-0.1450</td>
<td>0.0513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R(7)</td>
<td>1356.487 606 (7)</td>
<td>-0.1140</td>
<td>-0.1137</td>
<td>0.0821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(7)</td>
<td>1366.395 252 (7)</td>
<td>-0.1215</td>
<td>-0.1211</td>
<td>0.0715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R(9)</td>
<td>1371.422 414 (7)</td>
<td>-0.1252</td>
<td>-0.1251</td>
<td>0.0816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(9)</td>
<td>1383.659 161 (7)</td>
<td>-0.1341</td>
<td>-0.1338</td>
<td>0.0739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(11)</td>
<td>1389.593 797 (8)</td>
<td>-0.1382</td>
<td>-0.1382</td>
<td>0.0816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(11)</td>
<td>1403.982 606 (8)</td>
<td>-0.1482</td>
<td>-0.1480</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R(13)</td>
<td>1410.648 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1522</td>
<td>-0.1524</td>
<td>0.0821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(13)</td>
<td>1427.013 40 (8)</td>
<td>-0.1630</td>
<td>-0.1630</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R(15)</td>
<td>1434.177 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1667</td>
<td>-0.1670</td>
<td>0.0834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P(15)</td>
<td>1452.354 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1781</td>
<td>-0.1782</td>
<td>0.0827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>R(11)</td>
<td>1447.613 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1542</td>
<td>-0.1542</td>
<td>0.0951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R(11)</td>
<td>1310.900 08 (6)</td>
<td>-0.1107</td>
<td>-0.1114</td>
<td>0.0489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R(13)</td>
<td>1332.315 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1254</td>
<td>-0.1265</td>
<td>0.0513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R(19)</td>
<td>1410.759 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1710</td>
<td>-0.1730</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R(19)</td>
<td>1338.350 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1471</td>
<td>-0.1502</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Strongest H2 Werner transitions at temperatures of \( T = 13000 \) K. Specified are vibrational quantum numbers in excited C, v' and ground states X, v'', wavelengths \( \lambda_i \), with uncertainties in between parentheses given in units of last digit; sensitivity coefficients obtained from ab initio \( K_i^a \) and semi-empirical \( K_i^\prime \) calculations (dimensionless); line oscillator strengths \( f_i \) (dimensionless); and natural broadening coefficients \( \Gamma_i \) in s\(^{-1}\). Line transitions in of the Q-branch involve the \( C^1 \Pi_u^+ \) states, while P-branch transitions involve the \( C^1 \Pi_u^- \) states. The full listing is included in a Supplementary document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C, v'</th>
<th>X, v''</th>
<th>( \lambda_i (\text{Å}) )</th>
<th>( K_i^a )</th>
<th>( K_i^\prime )</th>
<th>( f_i )</th>
<th>( \Gamma_i (10^9 \text{s}^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Q(19)</td>
<td>1142.712 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0898</td>
<td>-0.0900</td>
<td>0.0735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Q(13)</td>
<td>1143.692 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0798</td>
<td>-0.0799</td>
<td>0.0767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P(13)</td>
<td>1151.641 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0900</td>
<td>-0.0912</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P(19)</td>
<td>1152.290 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0992</td>
<td>-0.0987</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Q(15)</td>
<td>1156.072 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0861</td>
<td>-0.0863</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Q(21)</td>
<td>1158.244 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0963</td>
<td>-0.0965</td>
<td>0.0761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P(15)</td>
<td>1164.871 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0953</td>
<td>-0.0962</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P(21)</td>
<td>1168.414 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1051</td>
<td>-0.1055</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Q(17)</td>
<td>1169.257 (1)</td>
<td>-0.0918</td>
<td>-0.0920</td>
<td>0.0814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P(23)</td>
<td>1184.811 (1)</td>
<td>-0.1094</td>
<td>-0.1099</td>
<td>0.0553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Material,1 while a subset of the most intense lines is listed in Table 1. The corresponding wavelengths for Werner transitions are also listed in Supplementary Material with a few of the most intense lines listed in Table 2. The tables contain the molecular physics knowledge of the Lyman lines to be observed in the hot environments of white dwarf photospheres; wavelengths \( \lambda_i \), line oscillator strengths \( f_i \), natural line broadening coefficients \( \Gamma_i \) (Abgrall et al. 2000), and the sensitivity coefficients \( K_i \) to be discussed in the next section.

1 Supplementary Material is provided on the molecular physics properties of over 3000 H2 Lyman lines, about a third is used in subsequent analysis of white dwarf absorption spectra. Similar lists for Werner transitions are also provided.

3 SENSITIVITY COEFFICIENTS \( K_i \)

Level energies of quantum states, as well as spectral lines of atoms and molecules depend on the values of two fundamental constants, the fine structure constant \( \alpha \) and the proton–electron mass ratio \( \mu \). Search for a variation of these fundamental constants under certain specific conditions, such as those in the early Universe or the presence of strong gravitational fields (Magueijo et al. 2002), can be probed by the effect of a relative change of a constant, e.g. \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) on the spectra of molecules (Jansen, Bethlem & Ubachs 2014). Here the focus is on the H2 Lyman bands and how the vast amount of Lyman lines in the absorption spectra of white dwarf photospheres can be employed to detect a possible dependence of \( \mu \) on the strong gravitational field near the surface of such an object that underwent gravitational collapse. For this purpose it is imperative to calculate
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the dependence of each individual line $i$ at wavelength $\lambda_i$ on the parameter $\mu$ via

$$K_i = \frac{d \ln \lambda_i}{d \ln \mu} = -\frac{\mu}{E_B - E_X} \left( \frac{d E_B}{d \mu} \frac{d E_X}{d \mu} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where the $K_i$ are referred to as the sensitivity coefficients. The equation implies that all absorption lines exhibit a different sensitivity to a variation of $\mu$, connected to the dependence of $E_X(v, J)$ excited and $E_X(v, J)$ ground state levels to values of $\mu$. This definition of $K$-coefficients is consistent with wavelength shifts between one set of data $\lambda_i^j$ at $z$, and a set at $\lambda_i^0$, corresponding to the laboratory data:

$$\frac{\lambda_i^j}{\lambda_i^0} = (1 + z) \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu} K_i \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Here the parameter $z$ may signify a cosmological redshift (in the case of highly redshifted objects towards quasars), a Doppler shift (in case of objects in the interstellar medium within our Galaxy), or a gravitational redshift (as in the case of absorptions occurring in high gravitational fields and probed from the Earth at a smaller gravitational potential).

Previous calculations of sensitivity coefficients for the $H_2$ Lyman bands have been carried out to model absorption in the cold clouds in the lines of sight of quasars, and were restricted to excitation from the lowest $v' = 0$ vibrational level in the $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ electronic ground state to $B(v')$ levels. The $K_i$ calculations were performed using a semi-empirical method based on the experimentally determined level energies, that were implemented in a Dunham formalism (Reinhold et al. 2006; Ubachs et al. 2007). Alternatively, an ab initio approach was followed (Meshkov et al. 2006) yielding results in good agreement. Here both methods of calculating $K_i$ sensitivity coefficients will be extended for the $B^1 \Sigma_u^+ - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$, $(v', v'')$ Lyman bands for a much wider parameter space involving many excited $v' > 0$ levels in the ground state. The calculations were also extended to cover the $C^1 \Pi_u^+ - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$, $(v', v'')$ Werner bands.

Resulting $K_i$-coefficients for R-branch transitions in some of the strongest Lyman bands observed in both white dwarfs are plotted in Fig. 3. For comparison, the $K_i$-coefficients for the $B(4) - X(0)$ Lyman band, used for the analysis of $\mu$-variation in quasar absorption studies are also plotted, showing the higher sensitivity of the $B(0) - X(4)$ band despite the same $|\Delta v| = 4$. Note that for the low-$J$ values the sensitivity coefficients in $B(4) - X(0)$ have opposite sign. The $K_i$-coefficients for Q-branch transitions of the strongest Werner band $C^+(0) - X(2)$ at $T = 13 \ 000 \ K$ is also shown. In the following subsections the semi-empirical and the ab initio approaches used will be presented in more detail, followed by a comparison of results obtained from either methods.

### 3.1 Semi-empirical analysis of $K_i$

The semi-empirical approach is related to the Dunham-based approach of Ubachs et al. (2007), which extracted the sensitivity coefficients based on the Dunham representation of level energies. However, in the present semi-empirical analysis, a full Dunham fitting is not carried out, instead the derivative $dE/\mu d\mu$ is obtained from numerical partial differentiation with respect to the vibrational $v$ and rotational $J$ quantum numbers, with the relationship between derivatives expressed as

$$\left| \frac{dE}{d\mu} \right|_{v,J} = -\frac{1}{2\mu} \left( \frac{dE}{dv} \right)_{v,J} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{J(J+1)}{\mu} \frac{dE}{dJ} \bigg|_{v,J}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

This results in a more direct procedure that only requires derivatives on the level energy series in the calculation of the sensitivity coefficients $K_i$. The present approach is actually a generalization of the Dunham-based approach that is not limited to the first-order mass dependence as was considered in Ubachs et al. (2007). In practice, the Dunham fitting of the level energies is not very robust since it requires one to carefully set the number of Dunham matrix coefficients in the representation to minimize the correlations between fitted parameters, a complication that is bypassed in the present method. A disadvantage of the semi-empirical approach is that local perturbations due to level energy crossings of excited states need to be treated for each individual case. It turns out that even without accounting for such local effects, the accuracy obtained for the $K_i$ is sufficient for the present analysis.

The derivatives $dE/\mu d\mu$ are calculated separately for the excited electronic states, $B^1 \Sigma_u^+$ and $C^1 \Pi_u^+$, and the ground electronic state $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$. For the $B$ and $C$ states, the combined data set from Bailly et al. (2010) and Abgrall et al. (1993c) is used, while the values from Komasa et al. (2011) are employed for the $X$ levels as discussed above. For the purpose of comparison between the semi-empirical and ab initio results for the separate electronic states, we introduce the level sensitivity coefficient $\mu$ $dE/\mu d\mu$. The difference of level sensitivity coefficients divided by the energy difference or transition energy between levels is then equivalent to transition sensitivity coefficient $K_i$ via equation (1). Whereas $K_i$ coefficients are dimensionless, level sensitivity coefficients are in units of cm$^{-1}$ and represent the corresponding shifts in the level energy if $\mu$ would change by 100 per cent.

### 3.2 Ab initio calculation of $K_i$

Contrary to previous calculations on the Lyman and Werner band systems of $H_2$ (Abgrall et al. 1993a,b; Gabriel et al. 2009), where some empirical adjustments on the potential curves had been performed to obtain a good match between experimental and computed transition wave numbers, we use here a pure ab initio approach which allows to introduce the $\mu$-dependence of the different quantities on a pure theoretical basis. We compute separately the energies of the upper (or lower) states of the electronic transitions for 11
different values of the reduced mass $\mu_{\text{red}}$ of the system, varied in steps of $\Delta \mu_{\text{red}} = 10^{-2}$ in atomic units centred around $\mu_{\text{red}, 0} = 0.5 \mu$. These calculations are performed for each $J$ total angular momenta of up to $J = 31$. The reference value for $H_2$ is $\mu_{\text{red}, 0} = 918.0764$ (Staszewska & Wolniewicz 2002).

The effect of the electronic or nuclear (vibrational and rotational) degrees of freedom in the mass sensitivity of the level energies is separated. The nuclear effect is treated in the Schrödinger equation solution for rovibrational level energies, for different reduced masses, given an electronic potential with the appropriate centrifugal repulsive term. These sensitivities are by far the dominant contributions to $K_i$. To improve the accuracy of the sensitivity coefficients, a partial treatment of additional electronic effects is applied by incorporating non-Born–Oppenheimer contributions, for example to the ground state electronic potential. Non-adiabatic interaction effects in the excited electronic (BO) potentials are included in the treatment, which may significantly alter the sensitivity of specific quantum levels, in cases of level crossings.

The ground state $X$ level energies are obtained from the solution of the one-dimensional Schrödinger nuclear equation where the accurate Born–Oppenheimer potential energy computed by Pachucki (2010) is used. The additional non-adiabatic contribution is derived from the computations of Komasa et al. (2011). The upper level energies involved in the Lyman and Werner transitions in $H_2$ are obtained from the solution of coupled Schrödinger nuclear equations involving the corresponding excited electronic potentials. The theory was described in Abgrall et al. (1987) when only $B^1 \Sigma_u^+$ and $C^1 \Pi_u$ rotational coupling was introduced and extended subsequently to the four interacting potentials $B^1 \Sigma_u^+, \ B^1 \Sigma_u^+, \ C^1 \Pi_u$ and $D^1 \Pi_u$ (Abgrall et al. 1994). We used thus the accurate Born–Oppenheimer potential energy curves and adiabatic corrections of $^1\Sigma_u$ and $^1\Pi_u$ states of $H_2$, computed, respectively, by Staszewska & Wolniewicz (2002) and Wolniewicz & Staszewska (2003). The non-adiabatic couplings between these various potentials are taken from Wolniewicz, Orlikowski & Staszewska (2006). We have taken great care in the numerical resolution of the coupled Schrödinger equations performed with the Johnson’s method (Johnson 1978) to avoid any spurious effect due to insufficiently small step size and insufficiently large integration length.

The level sensitivity coefficient $\mu \, dE/d\mu$ for an upper (or lower) level is obtained from the slope of the calculated level energy for different $\mu_{\text{red}}$ values. Subsequently, ab initio $K_i$ values are derived from the difference of level sensitivity coefficients scaled by the corresponding transition energies according to equation (1).

### 3.3 Comparison between both methods

In order to verify the consistency of the methods to derive sensitivity coefficients and to assess their uncertainty a comparison was made between the two distinct methods of calculation. For this purpose we have applied the semi-empirical method on the ab initio $X$ and $B$ state level energies, calculated for the most accurate value of $\mu = 1836.152 \, 672 \, 45$ (75) as listed in CODATA (Mohr, Taylor & Newell 2012). The difference of the level energy sensitivities, $\Delta (\mu \, dE/d\mu)$, for the $B$ and $X$ electronic states, obtained using the semi-empirical and ab initio methods is plotted in Fig. 4 for the first few $v_B, X$ quantum numbers. In the $X$ state comparison, some discrepancy is observed at higher $J$ that may be attributed to the numerical limitation of the semi-empirical method at the boundary where there are fewer values of $J$ belonging to states with increasing $v$ quantum numbers. The results of both methods are in better agreement for the ground state $X$ than those of electronically excited levels, for example $B$. This may be attributed to the isolation of the ground state to any other interacting states, since the agreement for the $C^1 \Pi_u$ state that has interactions with fewer states is comparable to that of the $X$ state. It turns out that the deviations when using experimental values of the level energies for the $B$ state or the more accurate level energies for the ground state from Komasa et al. (2011) are not significantly different to the trends observed here.

The transition sensitivity coefficients are calculated using equation (1), where the difference of the level energy sensitivities, $\mu \, (dE_{B,X}/d\mu)$, is scaled by corresponding the transition energy. A comparison of the difference $\Delta K^{B-X}_v$ in transition sensitivity coefficients is shown in Fig. 5 for P-branch transitions for $(v_B = 0, v_X = 0–5)$ bands. For the transitions observed in white dwarf spectra described below, the ab initio and semi-empirical methods are in agreement to a few $10^{-4}$ which is more than sufficient for the present application. Similar procedures were applied to the $C^1 \Pi_u$ state to calculate the sensitivity coefficients for the Werner transitions, where the results from both methods also show good agreement.
It is noted that the deviations between the two methods discussed above only lead to a few times $10^{-4}$ contribution in absolute terms to the final $K_t$ coefficients, that is, 0.1 per cent in relative terms. Despite these discrepancies being the maximum observed, we adopt this as a conservative uncertainty estimate for the $K_t$ coefficients presented here.

4 APPLICATION TO WHITE DWARF SPECTRA

The sensitivity coefficients, both from ab initio $K_{t}^{\ast}$ and semi-empirical $K_{t}$ methods, for the most intense lines are included in Table 1 for the Lyman bands. The $K_{t}^{\ast}$ entries were calculated using the accurate level energies from Bailly et al. (2010) and Abgrall et al. (1993c), with applied corrections as discussed above, for the electronically excited states, while the most accurate level energy calculations of Komasa et al. (2011) were used for the ground state levels. A full list that also includes the Werner bands is presented in the Supplementary Material. In the spectral range between 1310 and 1420 Å used in the analysis, the strongest Werner transitions are at least an order of magnitude weaker than the strongest Lyman lines. The most intense Werner lines for $H_2$ at temperatures of $T = 13000$ K lie in the 1140–1250 Å wavelength range, with the first few listed in Table 2. The Q-branch transitions involve levels of the $C_1^1 \Pi_u$ state, while the P- and R-branches involve the $C_1^1 \Pi_g$ state. The comprehensive data base is produced for the purpose of future analyses of $H_2$-absorption in high-temperature white dwarf photospheres.

In Tables 1 and 2, the molecular physics information on the $H_2$ Lyman and Werner bands is re-evaluated and tabulated in terms of accurate wavelengths $\lambda_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{B}}$ and $\lambda_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{C}}$, line oscillator strengths $f_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{BX}}$ and $f_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{CX}}$, excited state damping factors $\Gamma_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{a}}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{b}}$, and sensitivity coefficients $K_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{BX}}$ and $K_{\nu,v,J}^{\text{CX}}$. Note that single-prime indices refer to excited states and double-prime to ground state levels. This information can be used to produce a model function of the absorption spectrum at a certain temperature, under the assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium. For the purpose of producing a model spectrum, a partition function for ground state level energies is calculated, for a certain temperature $T$ with

$$P_{\nu,J}(T) = \frac{g_{\nu}(J)(2J + 1) \exp \left(-\frac{E_{\nu,J}}{kT}\right)}{\sum_{\nu, J} g_{\nu}(J)(2J + 1) \exp \left(-\frac{E_{\nu,J}}{kT}\right)},$$

(4)

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $g_{\nu}(J) = (2 - (\nu - 1)^2)$ is a nuclear-spin degeneracy factor, the ortho-para ratio that leads to a 3:1 ratio for odd:even levels. Unlike cold interstellar absorption clouds, vibrational and rotationally excited levels of the $X$ ground state of $H_2$ are substantially populated in hot white dwarf photospheres as shown in Fig. 6.

The intensities of the absorption lines is then given by

$$I_{\nu} = N_{\text{column}} f_{\nu,v,J} \tau_{\nu,v,J} P_{\nu,J}(T),$$

(5)

where $f_{\nu,v,J}$ are the line oscillator strengths specific for a certain transition and $N_{\text{column}}$ is the total column density of $H_2$ absorbers, summed over all quantum states, populated with a fraction $P_{\nu,v,J}(T)$. Here we restrict ourselves to the $B - X$ Lyman bands as we analyse the spectral region where these are unambiguously detected. The spectra recorded from the photospheres of the white dwarfs are modelled by taking the wavelength positions $\lambda_{\nu}$, assigning them an intensity $I_{\nu}$ and a Gaussian width $b$ (the same for each line) convolved with the Lorentzian broadening coefficient $\Gamma_{\nu}$, resulting in a Voigt profile.

Figure 6. Population of $v = 0, 2$ and 4 levels in the electronic ground state $X$ of $H_2$ for $T = 13000$ K.

Table 3. Resulting parameters of the $H_2$ absorption spectrum for the two white dwarfs GD133 and GD29-38 analysed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>GD133</th>
<th>GD29-38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{column}}$ [cm$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>15.817 ± 0.007</td>
<td>15.959 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ [K]</td>
<td>11100 ± 940</td>
<td>13500 ± 680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ [km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>14.50 ± 0.58</td>
<td>18.29 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>0.000 1819(11)</td>
<td>0.000 1358(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \mu/\mu$</td>
<td>$(-2.3 \pm 4.7) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$(-5.8 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{\text{DP}}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\chi^2)_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spectra were fitted using the ‘lifetime position = 2’ instrumental profile for the G130M/1300 setting of the COS instrument. Finally the spectrum is allowed to undergo a redshift factor $(1 + z)$. The model spectrum is treated by the software package vPFIT where parameters $N_{\text{column}}$, $b$, and $z$ are optimized in a fit to the entire data set, for each white dwarf spectrum. The fits are run for various input temperatures, hence starting from different partition functions, and the fit results for the $T$-value with a minimum $\chi^2$ are listed in Table 3. While the errors of $N_{\text{column}}$, $b$, and $\Delta \mu/\mu$ were derived from the covariance matrix, the error of $T$ corresponding to the lowest $\chi^2$ value was found following an error estimation procedure described in Cline & Lesser (1970). The redshift $z$ is mainly the result of the gravitational potential. The listed $b$-parameter is the result from the fit, deconvolved from an instrument function, corresponding to 17 km s$^{-1}$. As such it can be interpreted as the Doppler width in the absorbing photospheres, where it is noted that a Maxwellian distribution at 12 000 K would give a Doppler width of $\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$.

Finally for the optimum value of the temperature, a possible variation of the $\mu$ constant was included via equation (2). Resulting constraints on a dependence of the proton-electron mass ratio are also listed in Table 3, in terms of a relative value $\Delta \mu/\mu$. The fitting of the spectra involved both the updated values of the sensitivity coefficients, and a refined treatment of the normalization of the temperature-dependent populations. The present fitting results, e.g. for the column densities and temperatures, are then slightly different from those reported in Bagdonaite et al. (2014a). Verifications of

2 http://www.stsci.edu/hst/cos/performance/spectral_resolution
the latter procedure were done for a number of temperatures, and no significant deviations found on $\Delta \mu / \mu$. For completeness, the value of the gravitational potential $\phi = GM/Rc^2$, with $G$ Newton’s constant, $R$ and $M$ the radius and mass of the white dwarf and $c$ the speed of light are included in the Table. These values may be compared to the gravitational potential at the Earth’s surface $\phi = 9.8 \times 10^{-9}$, which is in fact produced by the gravitational field of the Sun. Hence, as a general result, the analysis of the white dwarf spectra constrains the proton–electron mass ratio to $|\Delta \mu / \mu| < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ at gravitational potentials $\phi = 10^8 \phi_{\text{Earth}}$.

The use of $H_2$ Lyman band lines for constraining $\Delta \mu / \mu$ may be mimicked by offsets in the wavelength calibration of the astronomical spectra, in particular in cases where a correlation exists between wavelength and sensitivity coefficients $K_i$. This possibility was discussed in the context of possible cosmological variation of $\mu$, or limitations thereof, as probed through $H_2$ absorption in the colder environments of high-redshift galaxies, where typical excitation temperatures of 50 K prevail (Ledoux et al. 2003; Ivanchik et al. 2005; Malec et al. 2010; Van Weerdenburg et al. 2011; Bagdonaite et al. 2014b). Under such conditions only a few rotational states, $J = 0–5$, are populated for a single $v'' = 0$ vibrational level, and the $K_i$-coefficients for the Lyman bands strongly correlate with wavelength (Ubachs et al. 2007). For those studies, the simultaneous use of Lyman and Werner band absorptions lifts the correlation somewhat, as shown in fig. 4 in Ubachs et al. (2007).

For the present case of the Lyman absorption bands at 12 000 K, the situation is much different as exemplified in Fig. 7 showing the calculated $K_i$-coefficients plotted as a function of wavelength, while the size of the data points reflects the (relative) line intensities of the specific lines in the spectrum. This figure demonstrates that the correlation between $K$ and $\lambda$ is lifted – at each wavelength in the spectrum various lines with differing $K_i$ contribute to the absorption spectrum. This feature makes the derivation of constraints on $\Delta \mu / \mu$ more robust.

5 CONCLUSION

In this study, the molecular physics information on the $B^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ (v′, v″) Lyman bands and $C^1\Pi_u - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ (v′, v″) Werner bands of the $H_2$ molecule is collected and re-evaluated resulting in a listing of wavelengths $\lambda_i$, line oscillator strengths $f_i$, and radiative damping factors $\Gamma_i$, while sensitivity coefficients $K_i$ for a possible change of the proton–electron mass ratio $\mu$ are calculated. This data base is implemented for the analysis of $H_2$ absorption spectra in the photospheres of GD133 and GD29-38 white dwarfs stars yielding a constraint on a possible dependence of the proton–electron mass ratio of $\Delta \mu / \mu < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ in a gravitational potential of $\phi = 10^8 \phi_{\text{Earth}}$. The study focuses on the Lyman bands for $v'' = 0–3$ and $v'' = 0–6$, corresponding to the strongest $H_2$ absorption features, observed with the COS aboard the HST.

Future spectroscopic studies on similar hot photosphere white dwarfs may cover the shorter wavelength region, where $C^1\Pi_u - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ (v′, v″) Werner lines contribute. For that purpose, calculations on the molecular physics data on the Werner bands are tabulated in the present study. For improving the analysis methods, extended data would be required along the following lines: (i) the laboratory wavelengths $\lambda_i$ for Lyman and Werner lines involving rotational quantum states $J > 12$ are only accurate to 0.1 cm$^{-1}$; laser-based studies could improve those values by two orders of magnitude and it was shown by Salumbides et al. (2011) that laser-based studies on very high-$J$ states are feasible. (ii) If white dwarf photospheres with enhanced column densities can be detected, spectroscopic features of the HD molecule should become observable. For a detailed analysis of such environments, the molecular physics properties of the Lyman and Werner bands of HD should then be investigated, along the lines as pursued by Ivanov et al. (2008, 2010).
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