The operation of a frequency comb at extreme ultraviolet (xuv) wavelengths based on pairwise amplification and nonlinear upconversion to the 15th harmonic of pulses from a frequency-comb laser in the near-infrared range is reported. It is experimentally demonstrated that the resulting spectrum at 51 nm is fully phase coherent and can be applied to precision metrology. The pulses are used in a scheme of direct-frequency-comb excitation of helium atoms from the ground state to the 1s4p and 1s5p 1P_1 states. Laser ionization by auxiliary 1064 nm pulses is used to detect the excited-state population, resulting in a cosine-like signal as a function of the repetition rate of the frequency comb with a modulation contrast of up to 55%. Analysis of the visibility of this comb structure, thereby using the helium atom as a precision phase ruler, yields an estimated timing jitter between the two upconverted-comb laser pulses of 50 attoseconds, which is equivalent to a phase jitter of 0.38 (6) cycles in the xuv at 51 nm. This sets a quantitative figure of merit for the operation of the xuv comb and indicates that extension to even shorter wavelengths should be feasible. The helium metrology investigation results in transition frequencies of 5740 806 993 (10) and 5 814 248 672 (6) MHz for excitation of the 1s4p and 1s5p 1P_1 states, respectively. This constitutes an important frequency measurement in the xuv, attaining high accuracy in this windowless part of the electromagnetic spectrum. From the measured transition frequencies an eight-fold-improved 4He ionization energy of 5 945 204 212 (6) MHz is derived. Also, a new value for the 4He ground-state Lamb shift is found of 41 247 (6) MHz. This experimental value is in agreement with recent theoretical calculations up to order mα^6 and m^2/4α^5, but with a six-times-higher precision, therewith providing a stringent test of quantum electrodynamics in bound two-electron systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic spectroscopy has been paramount for the discovery of the laws of physics. The ordering of spectral lines in the hydrogen atom led to the Rydberg formula and the concept of quantization in the old Bohr model led eventually to the formulation of quantum mechanics. In 1947, further advance was made with the observation by Lamb and Retherford that the 2^2S_1/2 and 2^2P_1/2 states in hydrogen are not degenerate, but differ by 1 GHz [1,2]. This result led to the development of quantum electrodynamics (QED) [3–5], which is the most precisely tested physics theory to date. Since the first measurement by Lamb and Retherford, QED contributions to energy levels in atoms are referred to as “Lamb shifts.” The theory has been elaborately tested and confirmed by experiments in various bound systems, ranging from atomic hydrogen [6,7] and helium [8–10], via one-electron heavy-iron systems [11], exotic atomic systems such as positronium [12] and muonium [13], to one-electron molecular ions [14,15], while recently a test of QED has also been reported in a neutral molecule [16]. Tests have also been performed on the anomalous magnetic dipole moment of the electron (“g-2”), so that in fact QED can now be used to derive a new value for the fine structure constant from such a measurement [17].

The two main QED contributions to the energy of an atomic system are virtual photon interactions (“self energy”) and screening of the nuclear charge due to the creation (and annihilation) of electron-positron pairs (“vacuum polarization”). The magnitude of these effects depends on the system considered and its energy eigenstate and is studied by means of precision spectroscopy. In atoms such as hydrogen and helium, the strongest QED effects are observed in the electronic ground states. For this reason, spectroscopy involving the ground state is preferred. In the case of hydrogen, this is achieved by inducing a two-photon transition at 2 × 243 nm from the 1S ground state to the 2S excited state, which has reached a level of precision that allows a detailed comparison between theory and experiment [18,19]. This comparison is currently limited by the uncertainty in the measured proton charge radius [18,20,21], which in itself is not a QED phenomenon. Assuming that the QED calculations are correct, one can also use the 1S-2S experiment in hydrogen to determine the effective proton charge radius. In this respect it is interesting to note that a recent experiment with muonic hydrogen (where the electron in hydrogen is replaced by a muon) has resulted in a proton size that differs 5σ [21] with the CODATA value that is based mainly on hydrogen spectroscopy. The origin of this difference is still under debate [22–25].

In principle a more stringent test of QED could be performed by experiments using atoms with a higher nuclear charge Z, as the nontrivial QED effects scale with Z^4 and higher. For this reason experiments have been performed on many different high-Z ion species such as U^{91+} [11]. However, the required (very) short wavelengths for excitation, such as hard x-rays, makes it very difficult to perform absolute frequency measurements (see e.g., [26] and references therein).

Even for Z = 2 in the He^+ ion or the neutral helium atom, where QED effects are at least 16 times larger than in hydrogen, it remains difficult to perform high-resolution spectroscopy. In the case of He^+, a two-photon excitation from the ground state requires 60 nm, while for neutral helium, 120 nm is required to
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drive the $1s^2 1S_0 - 1s2\pi 1P_1$ two-photon transition. One-photon transitions require even shorter wavelengths, in the extreme ultraviolet (xuv), for example, 58 nm to excite the $1s^2 1S_0 - 1s2p 1P_1$ first resonance line in neutral helium.

A major obstacle to precision spectroscopy in the xuv is the lack of continuous wave (cw) narrow bandwidth laser radiation. Instead, pulse-laser techniques have been used. A laser-based measurement of the $1s^2 1S_0 - 1s2p 1P_1$ resonance line at 58.4 nm was achieved via upconversion of the output of a grating-based pulsed dye laser [27]. Subsequently, pulsed amplification of cw lasers and harmonic generation in crystals and gases led to production of narrower bandwidth xuv radiation. In this fashion spectroscopy on neutral helium has been performed from the ground state using ns-timescale single pulses of 58.4 nm [9,28]. In an alternative scheme two-photon laser excitation of neutral helium at 120 nm was achieved [8,29]. In both experiments, transient effects resulted in “frequency chirping” of the generated xuv pulses, which limited the accuracy of the spectroscopy to about 50 MHz.

Here we overcome this problem by exciting transitions using a pair of phase coherent xuv pulses, produced by amplification and high-harmonic generation (HHG) of pulses from a frequency-comb laser. In such a scheme most of the nonlinear phase shifts and those due to short-lived transients cancel because only differential pulse distortions enter the spectroscopic signal. In effect, a frequency comb in the xuv is generated. The employed method of spectroscopy with this xuv comb laser is a form of Ramsey spectroscopy [30]. Early experiments using phase-coherent pulse excitation in the visible part of the spectrum used a delay line [31], a resonator [32] or modelocked lasers [33] to create two or more phase-coherent pulses. More recently, amplified ultrafast pulses have been split using a Michelson interferometer or other optical means to create two coherent xuv pulses after HHG [34–36]. Coherent excitation of argon in the xuv with a delay of up to $\approx 100$ ps [37] has been demonstrated in this manner. However, with these methods no absolute calibration in the xuv has been demonstrated up to now as it is very difficult to calibrate the time delay and phase difference between the pulses with sufficient accuracy. In the present experiment we use a frequency-comb laser (FCL) [38,39] to obtain phase-coherent pulses in the xuv, which allows for a much higher resolution and immediate absolute calibration.

Single femtosecond laser pulses can be sufficiently intense for convenient upconversion to xuv or even to soft x-ray frequencies using HHG [40]. However, as a consequence of the Fourier principle, the spectral bandwidth of such pulses is so large that it inhibits high spectral resolution. Frequency combs combine high resolution with high peak power by generating a continuous train of femtosecond pulses. In this case the spectrum of the pulse train exhibits narrow spectral components (modes) within the spectral envelope determined by the spectrum of a single pulse. The modes are equally spaced in frequency at positions given by

$$f_n = n f_{\text{rep}} + f_{\text{CEO}},$$

where $n$ denotes the integer mode number, $f_{\text{rep}}$ is the repetition frequency of the pulses, and $f_{\text{CEO}}$ is the carrier-envelope offset frequency. The latter relates to the pulse-to-pulse phase shift $\Delta \phi_{\text{CE}}$ between the carrier wave and the pulse envelope (carrier-envelope phase or CEP) by

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} = 2\pi f_{\text{CEO}}/f_{\text{rep}}.$$  

Each of the comb modes can be used as a high-resolution probe almost as if it originated from a continuous single-frequency laser [41–43]. If the entire pulse train can be phase-coherently upconverted, the generated harmonics of the central laser frequency should exhibit a similar spectrum with comb frequencies $m f_{\text{rep}} + q f_{\text{CEO}}$, where $m$ denotes again an integer mode number and $q$ is the integer harmonic order under consideration. By amplification of a few pulses from the train, and producing low harmonics in crystals and gases, an upconverted comb structure has been demonstrated down to 125 nm [44,45]. However, to reach wavelengths below 120 nm, HHG has to be employed, requiring nonlinear interaction at higher intensities in the nonperturbative regime [46]. It is well established that HHG can be phase coherent to some degree [34,35,37,46–48], and attempts have been made to generate frequency combs based on upconversion of all pulses at full repetition rate [49–52]. However, due to the low xuv-pulse energies, the comb structure could for a long time not be verified in the xuv-domain for those sources.

Recently, we have shown that a sufficiently powerful xuv comb can be generated for direct comb spectroscopy at 51 nm in helium [53]. This is based on parametric amplification of two frequency-comb pulses combined with harmonic upconversion. A wide and continuous tunability from 85 to 51 nm was demonstrated for the method with spectroscopy in argon, neon, and helium [54]. In the current paper a full and detailed description is given of the precision spectroscopy performed in helium [53]. Only very recently was xuv comb spectroscopy using the alternative method based on full-repetition rate upconversion in a resonator cavity [55] also demonstrated, at 82 nm in argon and at 63 nm in neon.

The article is organized as follows: In Sec. II the measurement principle is explained, followed in Sec. III with a description of the different parts of the experimental setup. The general measurement procedure and results are presented in Sec. IV. In Sec. VA, a description of all systematic effects that need to be taken into account to determine the ground-state ionization potential from the measured transitions frequencies is given. This is followed in Sec. VB with a discussion of the timing jitter in the xuv, which can be derived from the measured Ramsey signal. In the final section VI, the conclusions and an outlook are presented.

II. OVERVIEW AND PRINCIPLE OF XUV COMB GENERATION AND EXCITATION

A frequency comb is normally based on a modelocked laser producing an infinite train of pulses with fixed repetition rate and CEP-slip between consecutive pulses. The corresponding spectrum of such a pulse train consists of a comb of narrow optical modes associated with frequencies given by Eq. (1). To convert the frequency comb to the xuv, we select only two pulses from the FCL. In this case the spectrum changes to a cosine-modulated continuum, but with the peaks of the modulation exactly at the positions of the original FCL spectrum. This “broad frequency comb” is converted in a phase-coherent manner to the xuv by amplification of the pulse...
pair to the millijoule level, and subsequent HHG. Once the FCL pulses (separated by the time \( T = 1/f_{\text{rep}} \)) are upconverted, they can be used to directly probe transitions in atoms or molecules. This form of excitation with two pulses resembles an optical (xuv) version of the Ramsey method of spatially (and temporally) separated oscillatory fields \([30,44]\). In the present case, the interacting fields are not separated in space, but only in time. Excitation with two (nearly) identical pulses produces a signal which is cosine-modulated according to

\[
S(T) \propto \cos \left[ 2\pi (f_\text{rep}T) - \Delta \phi(f_\text{rep}) \right],
\]

when varying \( T \) through adjustment of \( f_{\text{rep}} \) of the comb laser. In this expression \( f_\text{rep} \) is the transition frequency and \( \Delta \phi(f) \) is the spectral phase difference at the transition frequency between the two pulses. Relation (3) is valid only for weak interactions, which is the case in the current experiment given an excitation probability of \( \ll 1 \) per atom.

Without HHG and in the absence of additional pulse distortions, the phase shift \( \Delta \phi := \Delta \phi(f_\text{rep}) \) is equal to \( \Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} \). The excitation signal will exhibit maxima corresponding to those frequencies where the modes of the original comb laser come into resonance with the transition.

The spectral phase difference of the generated xuv pulse pair cannot be determined directly. Therefore, we need to propagate the spectral phase difference from the frequency comb through the parametric amplifier and the HHG process into the interaction region. The phase shift \( \Delta \psi(f) \) imprinted on the pulses by the nonlinear optical parametric double-pulse amplifier (NOPCPA) is measured directly using an interferometric technique described previously \([56]\). To model the HHG process we employ the slowly varying envelope approximation described in Sec. V, which yields a differential xuv phase shift of the form

\[
\Delta \phi_q = q(\Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} + \Delta \psi) + \Delta \psi_q,
\]

where \( \Delta \psi \) denotes the carrier envelope phase accumulated in the NOPCPA (compare Sec. IV), \( q \) is the harmonic order of the resonant radiation, and \( \Delta \psi_q \) is an additional phase shift due to nonlinear and transient response in the HHG process \([57,58]\) and transient effects such as ionization. Note that only differences in phase distortion between the two subsequent pulses from the FCL affect \( \Delta \phi_q \) and therefore the Ramsey signal. Shared distortions, such as frequency chirping due to uncompensated time-independent dispersion, have no influence on the outcome of this experiment.

The frequency accuracy of the method scales with the period of the modulation \( f_{\text{rep}} \) here equal to 100 to 185 MHz rather than the spectral width of the individual pulses (about 7 THz in the xuv at the 15th harmonic). An error \( \delta \) in the value of \( \Delta \phi_q \) leads to a frequency error in the spectroscopy result of \( \Delta f = \delta / (2\pi T) \). Of the two components contributing to this error, \( \Delta \psi(f) \), can be expected to be independent of \( T \), while the transients contained in \( \Delta \psi_q \) decay with increasing \( T \). Therefore, \( \Delta f \) decreases at least with \( 1/T \), leading to a higher accuracy for a longer time separation between the pulses. In practice, there is still a minimum requirement on the stability and measurement accuracy of phase shift of rms 1/200th of a cycle (at the fundamental frequency of the FCL in the near infrared). This ensures that the contribution \( \delta \psi \) to the frequency uncertainty of the measured transition frequency

\[
\Delta \phi_q = q(\Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} + \Delta \Psi) + \Delta \psi_q,
\]

is small enough so that the “mode number” ambiguity in the transition frequency, due to the periodicity of the signal, can be resolved with confidence.

A sketch of the xuv comb principle in the frequency domain is shown in Fig. 1. An FCL serves as a source of phase-coherent pulses. A pair of such pulses is amplified in a NOPCPA to the mJ level, yielding a cosine-modulated spectrum when viewed in the frequency domain. The amplified pulses are spatially filtered with two pinholes: one placed between the second and third amplification stage and one after compression. As a result, the pulse pairs show less spatial intensity and phase variation compared to the unfiltered beam.

Before the ir beam is focused in a krypton jet for HHG, its center is blocked by a 1.9-mm-diameter copper disk, while the outside is clipped with an iris to typically 6 mm in diameter. The donut-mode shape is used to facilitate the separation of the driving ir field from the generated xuv emitted on axis (see also Sec. III D).

Phase shifts in the NOPCPA, which are not common for both pulses and therefore change the position of the comb modes, are measured by means of spectral interference. A Mach-Zehnder-like configuration is used to interfere the original comb pulses with those amplified by the NOPCPA, as described in \([56]\) and Sec. III C.

The amplified pulses are focused a few mm in front of a krypton jet, in which two phase-locked pulses of high-order harmonics are generated to create a cosine-modulated comb spectrum in the xuv. In order to avoid direct ionization of helium with higher-order harmonics, the ir intensity in the interaction region and the harmonic medium are chosen such that the desired 15th harmonic appears at the cutoff of the HHG process. The xuv beam perpendicularly crosses a low-divergence beam of helium atoms and excites them from the ground state to the 1snp \(^1P_1\) state \((n \in \{4,5\})\). A pulse of 1064 nm radiation ionizes the excited atoms, which are subsequently detected in a time-of-flight mass spectrometer.

Tuning of the xuv comb is accomplished by changing \( f_{\text{rep}} \) of the FCL. The changing mode separation effectively causes the modes in the xuv to scan over the transition. An example for a scan of the repetition time corresponding to approximately 500 attoseconds (as) is shown in Fig. 2. The number \( m \) of the
The probability to find an atom in the excited state decreases. For the helium atom, its oscillatory movement is suppressed and the detection probability also increases. If the second pulse is in phase with the dipole oscillation, the amplitude of the excited state increases, and thus the detection probability also increases. Two extreme cases can be distinguished. If the second pulse is out of phase with the dipole oscillation, the amplitude of the excited state decreases, and the detection probability also decreases.

Besides the frequency-domain perspective, this experiment can be viewed also in the time domain. In this case it can be seen as a pump-probe experiment, which tracks the dynamics of the electronic wave function that results from mixing the ground state of helium with the excited p-level. The first xuv pulse brings the atom into a superposition of the ground and excited state. This superposition results in a dipole, which oscillates at the transition frequency with an amplitude that decays according to the lifetime of the excited state. The second pulse probes this oscillation. Two extreme cases can be distinguished. If the second pulse is in phase with the dipole oscillation, the amplitude of the excited state increases, and thus the detection probability also increases. If the phase of the second pulse is shifted by $\pi$ with respect to the dipole oscillation of the helium atom, its oscillatory movement is suppressed and the probability to find an atom in the excited state decreases.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The measurement setup consists of four major elements: a frequency-comb laser, a noncollinear parametric amplifier, a phase-measurement system, and a vacuum apparatus for HHG and excitation of helium in an atomic beam. A schematic overview of the setup is given in Fig. 3.

A. Frequency-comb oscillator and pulse stretcher

Phase-coherent pulses are obtained from a home-built Kerr-lens mode-locked Ti:sapphire frequency-comb laser. The FCL has an adjustable repetition rate between 100 and 185 MHz. Dispersion compensation in the cavity is obtained with a set of chirped mirrors, supporting a spectral bandwidth of 60 nm.

B. Noncollinear optical parametric amplifier

A pair of subsequent pulses obtained from the stretcher is amplified in a noncollinear optical parametric chirped-pulse amplifier based on two 5-mm-long beta-barium borate (BBO) crystals. Here we present a concise description of the system, while further details can be found in [56,59]. The amplifier operates at a repetition rate of 28 Hz, and amplifies two subsequent FC pulses to a level of typically 5 mJ each. The bandwidth of the pulses remains essentially unchanged compared to that selected by the slit of the preceding stretcher, although saturation effects in the NOPCPA result in a “cathedral”-like spectrum (see Fig. 4). The pump light for the NOPCPA (two pulses at 532 nm, 50 ps, 80 to 100 mJ per pulse) is obtained by frequency doubling 1064 nm light from a Nd:YAG-based pump laser. A relay-imaged delay line in the pump laser is used to produce these pulse pairs with a time separation between 5.5 and 10 ns. This time separation is adjusted carefully for each value of $f_{\text{rep}}$ to match the time delay between consecutive pulses from the FCL at a few-ps level.

Electronic synchronization is employed (timing jitter less than 1 ps) so that the pump and comb-laser pulses arrive at the BBO crystals at the same time. The amplification happens in three stages, the first two located in the first BBO crystal and the last (power amplifier) stage uses the second. Between the first and second crystal spatial filtering is used to reduce phase-front...
errors. After amplification in the NOPCPA, and subsequent compression, a final spatial filter is employed (based on a 1:1 telescope with \( f = 50 \) cm lenses and an 80 micron pinhole in between, mounted in vacuum). This reduces wave-front errors and results in a Gaussian beam with a diameter of 6 mm. The pulses of 300 fs duration are essentially diffraction and Fourier-limited with an energy of 1 to 2 mJ per pulse.

By choosing parametric amplification, a high gain \((10^8)\) is achieved while avoiding some of the transient effects that conventional laser amplifiers suffer from, such as thermal lensing and inversion depletion. The reason for this is that, in NOPCPA, negligible power is dissipated in the amplifier medium (a nonlinear crystal) and the amplified (signal) wave is not distorted provided the process is perfectly phase matched (see e.g., [60]). Imperfections in the wave front of the pump-beam pulses can lead to a spatially dependent phase mismatch in the NOPCPA, which in turn influences the phase of the amplified signal beam. Therefore, special attention is paid to match the properties (wave front, pulse length, energy, diameter) of the two pump pulses so that induced phase effects in the two amplified signal pulses are as equal as possible. A Shack-Hartmann sensor was used to align their direction within several \( \mu \)rad and their propagation axis within 10 \( \mu \)m. The remaining spatial differential signal distortions are reduced by the spatial filters and finally measured interferometrically as described in the next section.

C. Phase-shift measurements in the infrared

Knowledge of the carrier-envelope phase change between consecutive pulses of a repetition-frequency stabilized modelocked laser is a prerequisite for frequency-comb metrology. Because parametric amplification influences the phase of the amplified light \([61,62]\), a method is needed to detect a differential phase shift between the pulses. This shift is recorded for each laser shot by using spectral interferometry with the original comb pulses as a reference in a Mach-Zehnder configuration (Fig. 3).

The phase-measurement setup is an advanced version of the one reported earlier \([56]\). In order to deal with the demand for higher spectral resolution of the phase-measurement setup and the spatial dependence of the phase shift between the pulses, several improvements were made. The most important is a motorized iris (2 mm diameter), which enables spatial mapping of the differential phase within 30 seconds by scanning it along the donut mode (see also Sec. III D). Such a wave-front scan is made before every recording of the helium signal because it cannot be done for every individual laser shot while measuring the helium signal. However, during a recording of the helium signal the iris is opened and an average value for the shift of \( \Delta \phi \) is monitored for each laser shot. This average is compared with the wave-front scan made just before a recording of the helium signal from which a correction for the average phase shift is calculated. In this way the effective phase difference change can be continuously monitored. The differential phase distortion along the donut-mode profile has a typical magnitude of 100 mrad, and a spatial variation of 20 to 30 mrad.

Perfect spatial overlap between the amplified and reference pulses is ensured by sending both beams through a 2-m-long large-mode-volume (field diameter 20 \( \mu \)m) single-mode photonic fiber. Before doing so, the pulses are stretched approximately 40 times in a grating stretcher. The combination ensures that both amplified and reference beam are perfectly overlapped, while the stretching and the large mode volume of the fiber enables us to increase the pulse energy for a good signal-to-noise ratio without inducing self-phase modulation (SPM) in the fiber. This last aspect is particularly important because, otherwise, intensity differences between the pulses could induce SPM and corrupt the result of the phase shift determination. To verify that no SPM error was induced, the total power coupled into the fiber was varied significantly, while setting an energy ratio of 1 : 2 between the two pulses. No additional phase shift was observed in this manner, so that SPM-induced phase shifts can be ruled out for the normal situation with equal pulse energy.

D. High-harmonic generation

The central part of the amplified and spatially filtered beam is blocked by a 1.9-mm-diameter copper disk to facilitate separation of the fundamental ir light and generated xuv further downstream. High harmonics are produced by focusing this donut-shaped beam \((f = 500 \text{ mm})\) a few mm in front of a pulsed krypton gas jet. The intensity at the focus is estimated to be less than \( 5 \times 10^{13} \text{ W/cm}^2 \), while the local gas density is estimated at a few mbar. After the focus, the beam encounters an 0.8-mm-diameter iris 40 cm from the jet, which is placed in the image plane of the copper disk. The iris blocks the infrared radiation with an extinction of better than 1 : 27, while the xuv light emitted on axis can freely propagate. Thereafter, the xuv beam passes the interaction chamber (described below), and enters a normal-incidence focusing grating monochromator equipped with an electron multiplier to analyze the harmonic spectrum. We estimate that about \( 1 \times 10^6 \) photons at the 15th harmonic at 51.5 nm are generated per laser shot. The driving intensity is chosen on purpose at such a level that the 15th harmonic is positioned exactly at the cutoff of the HHG process. As a result the next harmonic (the 17th, causing background counts due to direct ionization of helium atoms in the spectroscopy experiment downstream) is about 10 times weaker.
energy is derived. Because this involves tests of many systematic effects, a hierarchy of measurements can be identified (see Table I). The first level is that of a single recording of the helium signal as a function of the repetition rate of the frequency-comb laser in the ir. To record the helium signal, the infrared FCL repetition frequency is scanned in steps of less than 20 mHz, resulting in changes in the time separation between the two pulses of around 1 attosecond. Each scan requires recording of 20 000 to 30 000 laser pulses, which takes about 15 minutes. For each laser shot, we record the ion signal, together with a series of other parameters, which are the frequency-comb repetition frequency $f$ and offset frequency $f_{\text{CEO}}$, the individual ir-pulse energies, energy $E_{\text{xuv}}$ of both xuv pulses and the average amplifier phase shift. The records are then binned into typically 20 groups over a Ramsey period, based on a scaled coordinate $u$ defined as

$$u := \left[ q \left( f_{\text{CEO}} + \frac{\Delta \psi}{2\pi} f_{\text{rep}} \right) - f_{\text{theor}} \right] / f_{\text{rep}},$$

(5)

where $q$ is the harmonic order ($q = 15$), $f_{\text{theor}}$ is the theoretically predicted transition frequency and $\Delta \psi$ is the phase shift at the peak of the envelope, which is calculated from the phase measurement and additional corrections (see Sec. V). We calculate the excitation probabilities $p(u)$ per laser shot, and averages of the other measured parameters within each bin, denoted by bars over the respective symbol from here on. The measured transition frequency $f_{\text{expt}} = f_{\text{theor}} + f_{\text{expt}}$, average background $p_0$, and Ramsey-fringe amplitude $A$ are then fitting parameters in the following model:

$$p(u) = \left[ p_0 + A \cos 2\pi u \right] / E_{\text{xuv}}(u).$$

(6)

This results in a transition frequency $f_{\text{expt}}$ for a single scan, relative to the theoretical transition frequency $f_{\text{theor}}$. The statistical error in this fit is determined via a bootstrap method [63], which requires no model of the noise sources.

The theoretical transition frequencies $f_{\text{theor}}$, used in the fitting procedure as a reference to which the experimental value is determined, are obtained by combining recent values of the theoretical ground-state energy from the literature [64] with those of the excited states [65]. Predicted theoretical frequencies are 5 740 806 963 (36) MHz for the $1s^2 1S_0-1s4p 1P_1$ and 5 814 248 632 (36) MHz for the $1s^2 1S_0-1s5p 1P_1$ transitions.

In Fig. 2 a typical recording of the excitation of helium by scanning the xuv comb over the $1s^2 1S_0-1s5p 1P_1$ transition is shown. The contrast of the modulation (in this example 40%) is smaller than unity due to various effects, like Doppler broadening, frequency noise and a finite constant background of about 15% due to direct ionization with the 17th and higher harmonics. Fitting of a single recording typically shows a statistical error of 1/50th of a modulation period. Depending on the repetition rate it amounts to an uncertainty of 2 to 3 MHz, which is unprecedented in the xuv spectral region.

Several scans are then performed while changing one parameter in the setup (e.g., helium velocity) to determine the magnitude of systematic effects. Such a measurement sequence is referred to as a “series” in the following. The two most frequently performed tests (series) determine the Doppler shift (by changing the helium velocity using gas mixtures) and ionization-induced frequency shift (by varying
TABLE I. Error budget for the measurement of the ground-state binding energy of helium. The corrections and uncertainties are given either in radians or in MHz at the 15th harmonic, depending on the type of effect (see text). The NL-phase shift denotes the differential phase shift (multiplied by 15 to account for its effect at the 15th harmonic) induced in the optics after the phase measurement but before the HHG jet (see VA3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Systematic uncertainty</th>
<th>Statistical uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single recording level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical fit error</td>
<td></td>
<td>Typ. 50–150 mrad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplifier phase</td>
<td>0–2.25 rad</td>
<td>75–375 mrad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined error single recording</td>
<td></td>
<td>Typ. 160–360 mrad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Series level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler shift</td>
<td>0–10 MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>8–18 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization shift</td>
<td>0–2 rad</td>
<td>See “ionization shift model’</td>
<td>0.5–2.0 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac Stark shift</td>
<td>14 mrad</td>
<td>14 mrad, see text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL - phase shift</td>
<td>128 mrad</td>
<td></td>
<td>225 mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoil shift 4ρ</td>
<td>18.28 MHz</td>
<td>≤1 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoil shift 5ρ</td>
<td>18.75 MHz</td>
<td>≤1 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined error single session</td>
<td></td>
<td>9–25 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground-state evaluation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean of sessions</td>
<td>4.9 MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization shift model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler shift</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc Stark shift</td>
<td>&lt;1 kHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjacent 6ρ level</td>
<td>&lt;30 kHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeman shift</td>
<td>&lt;7 kHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total error in ground state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the density in the krypton jet). Less frequently, the ir-pulse ratio was also varied to test its influence on the measured transition frequency. The analysis of these tests, as well as additional experiments that have been performed to determine other systematic errors, are described in more detail in Sec. V. Each of the series typically requires 4 to 6 scans. From this data we extract (interpolate and extrapolate) the measured transition frequency in absence of the investigated effects and a coefficient for correcting the shifted values. For example, the extrapolation to zero velocity in a Doppler-shift measurement yields a Doppler-free frequency plus the slope (i.e., the angle between the xuv and atomic beams). The angle can be used to correct the Doppler shift of scans at a finite known helium velocity. A session then consist of a number (2 to 15) of these series containing in total up to 60 scans. Within each session, groups of series are selected so that each group contains at least one Doppler measurement and one ionization-effect measurement. If possible, also a pulse-ratio-variation measurement is included, otherwise a default dependence is used based on previous measurements.

Figure 6 gives an example for a data set acquired in a measurement session. The different series are displayed therein as red circles for Doppler-shift measurements, green triangles for ionization-shift determinations, and blue squares for the measurement of the shift related to the pulse-intensity ratio. Note that some measurements are used to derive both the Doppler and the ionization-related contribution. These separate determinations are used to mutually correct each other for the different tested aspects (so, e.g., an ionization-series value is corrected for the Doppler shift measured just before or after, and vice versa). The error bar on each series depends on the statistical error of its single recordings, and the fit of the systematic effect. After grouping, a sequence of transition frequencies results with an appropriate error bar. Additional corrections (such as Stark shift, recoil shift) are then applied.
and the theoretical value for the energy of the excited state is taken into account. The weighted average over these values then leads to a session-level value for the ground-state binding energy and an error estimate for it.

Each session is performed for a combination of one upper state in helium (either 4P or 5P), with one repetition rate of the frequency-comb laser. Most recordings were made of the 1S1/2 − 1S1/2 transition. As an additional check also one series was measured on the 1S1/2 − 1S1/2 transition. A weighted average over all sessions then leads to a new value for the measured transition energies.

V. DISCUSSION

The experiments discussed in this article yield a twofold result. The phase coherence of the xuv pulse pair allows us to measure the frequency of an electronic transition in helium. In addition, the contrast of the Ramsey fringes, obtained for different repetition frequencies and helium velocities is used to investigate the coherence of the generated xuv radiation. Accordingly the following discussion is divided in two major parts: an evaluation of the measured transition frequencies and a contrast analysis of the observed interference signals.

A. Determination of ground-state energy of helium with xuv-frequency comb

For an absolute measurement many systematic effects have to be considered in addition to the already-mentioned effects of Doppler shifts and phase shifts in the NOPCPA and in HHG. These include recoil shifts, refractive index changes (Kerr effect) in the HHG focusing lens and the entrance window to the vacuum setup, and the influence of the plasma generated in the HHG medium. Also, ac and dc Stark effects and Zeeman shifts are considered. A new ionization energy for helium is derived from these measurements by taking the excited states ionization energy of the 4P and 5P into account. The binding energies of these states are known to an accuracy better than 20 kHz from calculations [64,65]. From the systematic studies, an improved experimental value is then obtained; an error budget for this value is listed in Table I.

To remove the ambiguity due to the periodic comb spectrum, the energy of the ground state of helium is determined by measuring the frequency of the 1S1/2 − 1S1/2 transition at different repetition rates \( f_{\text{rep}} \) of the FCL between 100 and 185 MHz. Additionally, the frequency of the 1S1/2 − 1S1/2 transition is measured at \( f_{\text{rep}} = 148.5 \text{ MHz} \) as a crosscheck. The correct mode number is found by plotting the possible energies of the helium ground state against \( f_{\text{rep}} \) as shown in Fig. 11 (similarly as implemented in [44]).

Systematic phase and frequency shifts, which occur at different stages in the experiment (like phase shifts in the NOPCPA or HHG), are measured, investigated, and corrected at different stages of data analysis. In this section the magnitude and treatment of individual systematic contributions to the measured frequency is discussed.

Many error sources lead to a frequency uncertainty that is a function of the delay between the pulses in a Ramsey pulse pair. This can be a direct influence (by phase shifts), but also indirect (e.g., Doppler shift) because the fit accuracy depends on the contrast of the Ramsey interference pattern, which in turn depends, for example, on the repetition rate, helium velocity, and decay rate vs repetition time. We take these variations into account, providing not only the systematic and statistical uncertainties, but also an uncertainty-range estimate for several contributions (see Table I).

Some of the considered error sources relate to differential phase shifts in the ir or xuv light field (e.g., an ir wavefront error or ionization shift), while other errors shift the measured frequency (e.g., Doppler shift). For this reason the corrections and errors are given either in terms of a phase shift at the 15th harmonic, or as a frequency shift. Phase shifts convert into different frequency shifts depending on the repetition rate of the frequency-comb laser, while the frequency shifts are independent of \( f_{\text{rep}} \).

In the following sections, we will discuss the effect of differential phase shifts in the amplifier, in the focusing lens and the entrance window of the HHG chamber, and in the krypton jet in which the xuv is generated. Furthermore, Doppler effects, ac and dc Stark shifts, Zeeman shift, recoil shift, and the contribution to the signal from the adjacent 6p level will be evaluated. The discussion is subdivided according to the different stages of error analysis following Table I.

1. Single recording level errors

Unequal conditions experienced by the two laser pulses can shift their relative phase \( \Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} \), and therefore the offset frequency \( f_{\text{CEO}} \) of the corresponding frequency comb. Because every imprecision in the carrier-envelope phase shift \( \Delta \phi_{\text{CE}} \) between the ir pulses is multiplied by the harmonic order of the harmonic upconversion process, special care must be taken to determine the resulting phase shifts. The harmonic order of 15 employed in this experiment sets a high demand for the detection of differential phase shifts between the ir pulses. The setup used to measure the relative spatial-phase profile of the ir-pulse pair was described in Sec. III C. Typical measured phase shifts are on the order of 100 mrad (both positive and negative, depending on the alignment of the pump laser and NOPCPA system). Therefore, in the 15th harmonic phase shifts, on the order of 1.5 rad in the xuv at 51 nm are considered. The phase-shift variation across the spatial profile of the beam is typically 20 to 30 mrad in the ir, which dominates the uncertainty in the propagation of the phase shift error to the xuv.

In a previous experiment [44], the effective influence of the measured differential spectral phase shift \( \Delta \psi(f) \) was calculated by taking a simple equal-weight average of all spectral components in the pulse. However, this is an over-simplified procedure. In order to propagate ir pulse distortions to the xuv, we model the HHG process using a slowly varying envelope approximation, neglecting depletion of the HHG medium (consistent with our operating conditions). We can then write the (complex) generated electric field at the \( q \)th harmonic as

\[
E_H(t) = f_H(A(t)) e^{iq[\omega_{\text{out}} + \phi(t)]} + \text{c.c.,}
\]

where \( A(t) \) is the slowly varying (real) envelope, \( \phi(t) \) is the (chirp) phase of the pulse, and \( f_H \) is the (complex)
single-frequency response of the HHG medium. We take \( \omega_0 \)

The phase shift between the driving laser field and generated xuv radiation depends on the ir intensity. On a single-atom level and in the strong-field limit it can be described analytically [57]. This model contains several contributions to the harmonic yield. The most common of these are referred to as the long and short quantum trajectories which exhibit a different intensity-dependent linear phase. By selecting only the central part of the HHG emission we ensure that only those terms that show the smallest phase coefficient (the short trajectory) contribute. The yield of the on-axis emission from the “short’’ part of the HHG emission we ensure that only those terms long and short quantum trajectories which exhibit a different yield. The most common of these are referred to as the

\[
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\]

The harmonic field of the second pulse with a (possibly) an exponent characterizing the HHG conversion efficiency.

The harmonic field of the second pulse with a (possibly)

\[
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\]

the phase exp(\( \omega t \)) during this time we can assume the nonlinear response function by

\[
\frac{\Delta_1 \psi}{\Delta t} = \arg \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}^{f_H}(\omega_\text{tr})}{\mathcal{E}^{f_H}(\omega_\text{tr})} \right) \approx \frac{\int dt q \delta \phi(t) f_H(A(t)) e^{i \phi(t)}}{\int dt |f_H(A(t))|^2}. \tag{12}
\]

Due to the localization of the xuv emission, the weighting function \( f_H(A(t)) \) could be replaced with any function that peaks where the pulse does. This means that the effective phase shift in the xuv at the transition frequency can be approximated by using phase information in the time domain because the xuv radiation is only generated when the ir pulse is close to its maximum intensity. We estimate \( A(t) \) by assuming Fourier-limited ir pulses with the spectrum found by spectral interferometry. This should be a good approximation of the actual pulse shape in the experiment, because it leads to the highest xuv yield, and the pulse compressor was set to achieve this maximum xuv yield during the measurements. \( \delta \phi(t) \) is calculated using the same assumption by just calculating the inverse Fourier transform of the two spectral-interferometry images. In a separate experiment we determined that the amount of xuv radiation at the 15th harmonic depends on the ir intensity to the 9th power, which has actually been used as the peaking weighting function for \( \delta \phi(t) \). The temporally averaged phase shift using the reconstructed xuv intensity and temporal phase shift results in a value of \( \Delta \psi \) for each laser shot. This is then used in the helium signal fitting procedure.

We analyzed our data using equal-weight spectral averaging over the measured spectral phase as well. The resulting transition frequency coincides with our more accurate model to within 1 MHz. However, for the simple spectral average of the measured phase shift, the spread in the values for the series with different repetition rates of the comb laser is significantly larger, which confirms that weighted temporal averaging models the phase shift better.

To minimize the intensity-related frequency shifts from HHG in the spectroscopy, we take care that the energy in the driving pulses is on average equal within 5%. Together with the filtered beam profile and equal temporal profile, this ensures that the intensity in the focus is equal on the few-percent level. To compensate for possible intensity differences we determined the observed transition frequency as a function of ir-pulse energy difference and interpolate linearly to zero difference. First, the energies of the pulses are measured with a photodiode. The integral of the photodiode signal is recorded with an oscilloscope for each pulse and divided by the mean value. A value for the peak intensity of a pulse is obtained from the measured energy, using again the spectral data available in the interferograms recorded for the phase measurement. We typically find a frequency shift that, linearly extrapolated, corresponds to an ir phase shift smaller than 0.2 rad for 100% relative intensity variation, which is less than 3 rad at the 15th harmonic. This interpolation also includes (and therefore minimizes) nonlinear phase shifts in the beam splitter, focusing lens, and vacuum window, which are not included in the phase measurement using the Mach-Zehnder interferometer. Our relative intensity determination is accurate to within about 2% for small pulse energy differences, so that the error due to this determination can be estimated to be 60 mrad, corresponding to a frequency error of 1.5 MHz at 150 MHz repetition frequency in the xuv.
The “Amplifier phase’ error in Table I combines the uncertainty of the phase measurement and wavefront deviation in the fundamental ir beam. The latter is calculated based on an average over the wavefront scan over the doughnut mode of the ir pulses. However, in principle there can be a systematic error for this effect at the level of a single helium signal recording, especially if the intensity profile is not perfectly homogeneous. In that case, the average of the phase measured over the beam is not representative for the phase shift at the 15th harmonic. To minimize this effect, we employ tight spatial filtering just before the phase-measurement setup to reduce wave-front errors and to smoothen the intensity profile to the lowest order Gaussian. During a session the pinhole we use for spatial filtering typically starts to wear out after a few hours of operation, leading to slightly asymmetric intensity distributions over time that vary randomly for each measurement session. The pinhole was also regularly replaced and realigned, as was the NOPCPA, so that the intensity and phase variations average down significantly over the near 200 scans that were analyzed. Therefore, the error due to the phase deviations and measurement accuracy is listed as a statistical error, conservatively based on the rms amplitude of the phase deviations as measured for each helium signal scan.

2. Measurement series level errors

Some of the systematic effects are related to the condition of the setup during the measurement. In particular, transient- and alignment-related effects are investigated alternately during a measurement session, forming series of measurements, which can be used to derive and mutually correct systematic shifts. Transient phase shifts occur when the first pulse changes the propagation conditions for the second one. In this case the condition of equal pulses is not sufficient to avoid an additional phase shift. Transient shifts that occur within the Mach-Zehnder interferometer are readily detected and corrected for. This includes shifts that are caused in the optics after the NOPCPA.

The largest transient effect is due to ionization of the HHG medium. What matters is only the ionization between the two points in time where the 15th harmonic is generated. Ionization leads to a lowering of the refractive index especially for the ir fundamental pulse, to the effect that the phase velocity of the second pulse becomes faster than that of the first pulse. Ionization is intimately linked to the HHG efficiency and therefore cannot be avoided completely. As we vary the ir intensity (by adjusting an iris size in the ir beam path) we find that, at excessively high intensity, the second xuv pulse can be strongly suppressed due to ionization of the medium and resulting phase-mismatching effects induced by the first pulse. In order to keep the latter to a minimum, we operate at an intensity at which a reasonable xuv yield is obtained, while simultaneously keeping the xuv pulse-energy imbalance within less than 20% (see Fig. 7). In order to determine the remaining effect due to ionization, we change the pressure in the HHG medium (by adjusting the valve driver), thereby varying the plasma density, and record the measured transition frequency effectively for different ion densities in the HHG medium.

The simultaneously measured xuv energy is used as a relative gauge for the density of ions in the HHG interaction zone, as no direct measurement of the level of ionization of the HHG medium was available for the helium measurements. If the phase matching conditions are not severely altered due to ionization, a quadratic dependence of the xuv output on krypton density is expected, while the plasma density, and therefore the phase shift, should be proportional to the density of krypton atoms. We assume that the induced plasma density is proportional to the krypton density, and that no recombination takes place on a timescale of 10 ns.

The relation between xuv yield and ion density was verified in a separate experiment by determining the amount of ionization in the HHG process using a collector grid just below the krypton jet. The voltage applied to this grid was on the order of a few volts to avoid secondary emission. For the conditions in the experiment the relation between xuv energy and recorded number of ions is equal to a power law with an exponent of 2.1 (6), in good agreement with the expected 2.0.

To obtain the “ionization-free’ frequency we extrapolate the frequency offset vs xuv energy (when varying Kr density) to zero xuv energy using this experimentally determined exponent (see Fig. 8). From the extrapolations we typically find shifts between 0 and 2 rad at the 15th harmonic for standard conditions, with uncertainties in the range between 0.5 and 2.0 rad. The average correction is on the order of 0.1 xuv cycles. Figure 8 shows the fitting of a typical ionization measurement series, from which a value is derived for $f_{\text{expt}}$ at zero gas density in the HHG jet and therefore at zero xuv intensity.

We also have considered krypton atoms in the HHG medium possibly left in an excited state after the first pulse. These excited state atoms would have a different nonlinear susceptibility and therefore produce a different nonlinear phase shift. Such a shift would not be detected by reducing the gas density. However, the HHG cutoff energy for such excited state atoms would lie far below the cutoff energy of the ground-state atoms, as the first excited state is about 10 eV above the ground state. Because the cutoff is already set to the 15th harmonic for ground-state krypton, this radiation cannot be produced by the excited Kr atoms.

![Figure 7](link) (Color online) A typical example of the measured ir-pulse photo-detector signals (red dashed) together with the corresponding xuv-yield at the 15th harmonic (black).
The green curve is the fit dependence, while the red square indicates xuv energy was varied by changing the gas density in the HHG jet. The xuv frequency comb can be calculated with high precision. It amounts to $18\ f_{\text{rep}}$ for zero gas density in HHG.

The Doppler effect is monitored by varying the helium-beam velocity using mixtures with noble gases. The velocity of helium in the atomic beam is measured (in a separate experiment) by monitoring the frequency shift in the helium signal for different angles between atomic and xuv beam. Helium atoms in the pulsed atomic beam have a speed of $2000 \ (320) \ m/s$ for a pure-helium expansion, helium in neon (pressure ratio $1 : 5$) is slowed down to $500 \ (250) \ m/s$. The xuv and atomic beam can be aligned perpendicularly using two beam splitters inside the monochromator vacuum region. Compared to the original ir beam, the diffracted light is found to be at least 27 times lower in intensity. This light can still produce an ac Stark shift but only during the time of the excitation pulses (and not in between). Therefore, it shows up in our measurement as a phase shift, which results in a different frequency shift for different $f_{\text{rep}}$.

The required correction is determined from a measurement with the beam block removed (i.e., with full ir intensity present in the interaction region). In this case we find a shift of $17.5 \ (6.0) \ MHz$ on the $1s^2 \ 1S_0-1s5p \ 1P_1$ at $148 \ MHz$ repetition frequency. With the beam block inserted for the regular helium measurements, a shift of less then $0.65 \ MHz$ is expected. Converting this to an equivalent phase shift of $14 \ (14) \ mrad$ in the xuv allows us to correct the other measurements performed at different repetition rates.

We have also estimated the theoretically expected frequency shift at $f_{\text{rep}} = 148 \ MHz$ for the same case. The estimated average peak intensity with the beam stop removed is about $25 \ GW/cm^2$ over a pulse duration of $300 \ fs$. Between the two pulses the intensity is zero. The ac Stark shift can be calculated by taking the time-averaged intensity [41] (for pulses $6.7 \ ns$ apart) of $1 \ MW/cm^2$. It leads to a theoretical ac Stark shift of $20 \ MHz$, in good agreement with the experimental value, measured with the full ir beam passing the interaction zone.

Finally, we need to consider that the pulses for the phase measurement are split off via a 1-mm-thick beam splitter (with fused silica as substrate material). After this point the pulses travel through the focusing lens (few mm BK7 glass) and enter the vacuum setup for HHG via a Brewster window (another few mm BK7). Any difference in intensity between the two subsequent pulses that are used for xuv comb generation, or any transient effect in these glass pieces, can cause an additional phase shift which is not taken into account by the generic phase-measurement procedure during recordings of the helium transition. To be able to still correct for this phase shift, a separate experiment was performed removing the ir-xuv separation iris in the vacuum setup. In this way the ir pulses could travel through the interaction zone to the monochromator, where they were coupled out through a window. Two beam splitters inside the monochromator vacuum...
chamber reduced the pulse energy to less than 0.1% of the original energy, thus avoiding spurious phase effects in the output window and other optics. A phase measurement was then performed on these pulses, while varying the pulse-energy ratio (which can be adjusted via the pump laser). This measurement resulted in an additional correction and uncertainty due to the optics placed between the phase-measurement setup and HHG (beam splitter, lens, and Brewster window) of 8.5 (15) mrad in the ir. This contribution (multiplied by 15 to take the harmonic order into account) is called ‘NL-phase shift’ in the error budget in Table I, where NL stands for the nonlinear origin of these differential phase shifts.

From Table I it is clear that the error contributions differ between the sessions due to different sizes of the corresponding data sets. Also a higher accuracy is achieved for the lower repetition rates in part because phase errors there result in smaller frequency errors. The highest uncertainty of 25 MHz at \( f_{\text{rep}} = 185 \) MHz is based on a very short measurement session, consisting of only one set of Doppler and ionization series.

4. Evaluation of He ground-state energy

In the evaluation of the error in the ground-state energy, the combined statistical and systematic error based on all sessions (3.7 MHz) is combined with several systematic errors represented as frequencies.

The biggest contribution to the uncertainty comes from the ionization shift model. This error contribution, listed under ‘Ionization shift model’ in Table I, refers to the error introduced by the uncertainty in the power law of 2.1 (6) between the xuv intensity and the amount of ionization (and therefore phase shift) in the harmonic generation region. As this power law is used to correct for the ionization-induced shifts for all measurements at the measurement series level, it results in a systematic error. This is determined by reanalyzing the helium ionization potential for a power law of 1.5 and 2.7, resulting in a variation of 4.9 MHz. As an additional test of (mostly) ionization-induced errors, we also determined the ground-state energy using only data points selected within a limited range of xuv pulse energy, relative to the average xuv energy for each scan. Tests were performed for symmetric and antisymmetric xuv energy distributions with 5% and 15% deviation from the average xuv energy. A maximum deviation of 5 MHz (from the value based on all points) was obtained in case of an asymmetric selection of data points with an xuv energy higher than 1.15 times the average energy. This is comparable with the estimated accuracy of the ionization model as it is based on a typical xuv yield and full distribution of xuv energies.

During the excitation-time interval, the extraction fields for the time-of-flight spectrometer are switched off. The estimated residual field of less than 0.5 V/cm results in a calculated dc Stark shift on the 5p, \( m = 0 \) state of less than 20 kHz. There can be an additional field due to ions in the interaction zone left from the first excitation pulse. However, even for 1000 ions, these fields are too small to cause a significant shift.

The influence of the Zeeman effect is estimated based on the magnetic field measured in the interaction region of \( 5 \times 10^{-5} \) T. Because the excitation radiation originates from HHG, which has essentially zero yield for circular polarization, we can assume the circular component to be less than 1%.

Since the ground state has no angular momentum, optical pumping cannot occur. Therefore, only a weak (1%) \( \Delta m = \pm 1 \) component has to be taken into account, leading to a Zeeman shift of less than 7 kHz.

It is vital for Ramsey excitation that only one transition is excited at a time. Excitation of other states would contribute also with a cosine of almost the same period, but a different phase (which changes quickly with the repetition rate of the FCL). A contribution from the 1s^2-1s2p transition, which is in the vicinity of the 13th harmonic, can be excluded because it cannot be ionized with a single 1064 nm photon. But for the excitation of the 5p also the 6p level could possibly be excited.

To investigate this, the bandwidth of the xuv pulses was determined by coarse tuning of the ir central wavelength by moving the slit in the Fourier plane of the pulse stretcher, located before the parametric amplifier. As a result, the xuv comb spectrum is tuned as well, and scanned over the transitions. From the signal we subtracted a constant background signal from direct ionization due the 17th and higher harmonics. This background has been found by blocking the ionization beam. A typical scan (corrected for the background) is depicted in Fig. 10. The solid line is a Gaussian fit of both the 5p and the 6p resonance, yielding a 1/e halfwidth of the xuv spectrum of 0.07 nm at the 15th harmonic.

From this width and the Gaussian profile we conservatively estimate a contribution to the 5p signal from the 6p resonance of less than 1%. This can cause a shift of the Ramsey pattern of at most 10 mrad (in the xuv). However, in practice the influence of this shift on the final transition frequency averages down for different repetition frequencies, so that the maximum error due to excitation of the 6p is less than 30 kHz for all measurements combined.

After incorporating all the systematic corrections, a clear coincidence can be seen between the results for different repetition rates. A new ground-state energy for \(^4\text{He}\) of 5 945 204 212 (6) MHz is found by taking a weighted average over all measured frequencies at the coincidence location. This corresponds to a new value for the \(^4\text{He}\) ground-state Lamb shift of 41 247 (6) MHz. The two most recent
QED contributions.) Remarkably, very good agreement is found within the combined uncertainty of theory and experiment. (The uncertainty of 36 MHz in both theoretical values is based on estimated but yet uncalculated higher-order QED contributions.)

A clear coincidence is seen at +37(6) MHz relative to theory. The values indicated with a blue solid circle symbol are based on the 1s2 1S0-1s5p 1P1 transition. As a cross-check, also a value based on the recorded 1s2 1S0-1s4p 1P1 transition is included (red square symbol at \( f_{\text{rep}} = 148 \text{ MHz} \)), resulting in a consistent ground-state energy.

Theoretical predictions of 5945 204 174(36) MHz from [64] and 5945 204 174(36) MHz from [66] are in agreement with this value within the combined uncertainty of theory and experiment. (The uncertainty of 36 MHz in both theoretical values is based on estimated but yet uncalculated higher-order QED contributions.)

Compared with the best previous determinations using single nanosecond laser pulses [8,9], our new value is almost an order of magnitude more accurate. Good agreement is found with the prediction of Korobov [67], who calculates 5945 204 223(42) MHz, employing nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics theory, in which the problematic divergences of QED are canceled at the operator level. However, the uncertainties in the present calculations are too large to decide which of the theoretical approaches is better suited to calculate the energy structure of few-electron atoms.

A second contribution comes from the phase uncertainty of 47 mrad FWHM in the amplified pulses after correction for the measured phase shift (evaluated near the peak intensity of the pulse), leading to a jitter of 1/9 cycle in the xuv. For HHG we take into account that the phase of the generated harmonics is proportional to the intensity of the fundamental beam [46], with an estimated factor of \( \approx 10^{-13} \) rad cm²/W, using the results presented in [68]. Combined with a NOPCPA pulse-ratio distribution FWHM of 2.5%, this gives a small expected jitter of 0.02 xuv cycles. Fluctuations of 6% in the ir pulse intensity lead to variations in the level of ionization in the HHG jet of more than 35% (because ionization scales with at least the 7th power of the ir intensity for the conditions in the experiment). If we adopt a typical average ionization correction of 0.1 xuv cycles, then this induces a phase jitter of 0.04 xuv cycles. Krypton density fluctuations (<20%) induce less than half this value.

A statistically independent combination of these noise sources leads to a total expected phase jitter in the xuv of 0.21 cycles. This is lower, but comparable to the jitter extracted from the contrast measurements. The difference might be due to uncertainties in the exact experimental conditions, in particular, the Doppler broadening and the bandwidth of the frequency-comb laser modes during the experiments.
The (estimated) contributions to the jitter suggest that the contrast should hardly be influenced by intensity-induced phase noise from the HHG process. This was investigated experimentally by taking only a subset of the data points to determine the contrast, using a selection criterion based on the measured xuv intensity. With this procedure we find that the visibility of the interference does not change (within a few percent) if we restrict the xuv intensity variation to symmetric bands of 5% and 40% around the mean xuv energy. We therefore conclude that intensity-dependent effects do not play a significant role as a source of phase noise in the xuv comb in the present experiment.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The metrology presented in this article represents a significant advance in precision extreme ultraviolet spectroscopy. As is illustrated in the historical overview of the spectroscopy of the helium atom, depicted in Fig. 13, the theoretical and experimental accuracy of the value of the binding energy

![Graph showing historical overview of the spectroscopy of the helium atom.](https://example.com/graph13)

FIG. 13. (Color online) (a) Advance in theoretical and spectroscopic accuracy for the ground-state energy of helium over the last 100 years. The shaded areas emphasize new spectroscopic tools that allowed us to overcome limits of previous technologies. Red squares represent the precision of calculations. The precision obtained in experiments is indicated with blue circles. (b) Comparison of helium ground-state ionization energy values obtained experimentally and theoretically in the last two decades. The values are plotted with respect to the most recent theoretical result [8,9,27,28,64,66,67,69–79].
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of helium improved by many orders of magnitude over the last hundred years. Experimentally, the biggest progress has always been initiated by new methods, which in turn also lead to advances in the theoretical understanding. The pioneering measurement by Herzberg [69] in 1958 based on a helium lamp and grating spectrometer was not significantly improved upon until laser excitation in 1993 [27].

In this paper we have described the first absolute frequency measurement in the xuv spectral region, based on parametric amplification and harmonic upconversion of two pulses from an ir frequency-comb laser. Direct frequency-comb excitation in the xuv of helium from the ground state is demonstrated, leading to an almost tenfold-improved ground-state ionization energy, and in good agreement with theory.

For the method employed it is vitally important to control and detect phase shifts in the amplification and harmonic upconversion process. An important aspect of direct excitation with an upconverted frequency comb is the possibility to detect systematic errors due to phase shifts between the pulses from the NOPCPA, HHG, and ionization, but also from the ac Stark effect. Uncorrected errors of this kind show up as a frequency shift that is proportional to $f_{rep}$ and can therefore be detected easily. In the current experiment no dependence on the repetition rate is observed in the measurements (see Fig. 11), indicating that the systematic effects have been taken into account properly. The accuracy of the presented method scales with $f_{rep}$, which also means that it can be improved by orders of magnitude by choosing frequency-comb pulses further apart. If the pulse delay would be extended to >150 ns, then the ionization shift introduced in the HHG process would in fact vanish altogether, because the time between the pulses would be sufficiently large to replace the gas in the focal region with a new sample before the second pulse arrives. This would reduce the error in the spectroscopy significantly because the biggest source of uncertainty is currently due to ionization effects in the HHG process. To fully exploit the enhanced accuracy with larger pulse delays, the sample has to be trapped and cooled to reduce Doppler and time-of-flight broadening which would otherwise wash out the ever-denser signal modulation. In view of this, measurements on He$^+$ are particularly interesting. This ion has a hydrogen-like electronic configuration which can be excited from the ground state using a two-photon transition at 60 nm. Such an experiment has the potential to perform QED tests beyond what has been possible so far in atomic hydrogen [18,80].

In the present work a frequency-comb laser in the xuv range is demonstrated, for the first time at wavelengths as short as 51 nm. The phase jitter of the xuv frequency-comb modes is found to be 0.38 (6) cycles. From the results we conclude that the observed comb jitter in the xuv is not dominated by the HHG upconversion process, but most likely by the stability of the original comb in the infrared. This form of technical noise can be considerably reduced by locking the comb laser to a stable optical reference cavity. It seems therefore feasible to extend comb generation and spectroscopy to even shorter wavelengths into the soft-x-ray region, provided that the carrier-phase noise of the fundamental and upconverted pulses is kept low enough. Furthermore, possibilities would arise if not subsequent pulses from the FC, but any two-pulse sequence could be selected for upconversion. This would increase the accuracy significantly below 1 MHz as the pulses could have a much increased time delay, and would make it possible to resolve multiple transitions with discrete Fourier transform spectroscopy techniques. In view of these possibilities, we envision applications such as QED test of He$^+$ and highly charged ions, precision spectroscopy of simple molecules such as H$_2$, coherent xuv or x-ray imaging, and possibly even the emergence of x-ray nuclear clocks.
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