Metastable helium Bose-Einstein condensate with a large number of atoms
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We have produced a Bose-Einstein condensate of metastable helium (³He) containing over $1.5 \times 10^7$ atoms, which is a factor of 25 higher than previously achieved. The improved starting conditions for evaporative cooling are obtained by applying one-dimensional Doppler cooling inside a magnetic trap. The same technique is successfully used to cool the spin-polarized fermionic isotope (¹³He), for which thermalizing collisions are highly suppressed. Our detection techniques include absorption imaging, time-of-flight measurements on a microchannel plate detector, and ion counting to monitor the formation and decay of the condensate.
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Ten years after the first experimental realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in dilute, weakly interacting atomic systems [1], the field of degenerate quantum gases has developed into a major area of research. For most elements it has not yet been possible to produce Bose-Einstein condensates containing large numbers of atoms. Only for hydrogen, sodium, and rubidium have condensates with more than $10^9$ atoms been realized [2]. Large condensates provide a better signal-to-noise ratio, allow a study of both the collisionless and the hydrodynamic regime, and are especially useful for sympathetic cooling and atom optics applications. In this realm metastable atoms are of particular interest, offering alternative and more sensitive detection methods due to their high internal energy. The first He⁺ BEC was detected using a microchannel plate (MCP) detector [3]. In the second experiment in which BEC was realized with He⁺ [4], optical detection was used and up to $6 \times 10^5$ atoms could be condensed. The value of the scattering length, recently reported by this group [5], is favorable for experiments with the fermionic isotope ³He. It ensures a stable ultracold ⁴He⁺→³He boson-fermion mixture, as the interisotope scattering length will be large and positive [6]. Large numbers of ⁴He⁺ atoms down to the critical temperature provide an efficient reservoir for sympathetic cooling and will facilitate the production of degenerate ⁴He clouds with large numbers of atoms.

In this Rapid Communication we present an experiment that combines the various detection methods used previously [3,4] and describes the realization of a BEC of ⁴He⁺ containing more than $1.5 \times 10^7$ atoms. This large improvement is primarily due to the application of one-dimensional Doppler cooling inside the magnetic trap rather than three-dimensional Doppler cooling prior to magnetic trapping. Doppler cooling of polarized atoms was originally proposed for atomic hydrogen [7], and has recently been demonstrated for optically dense samples of magnetically trapped chromium atoms [8]. Compared to the laser cooling methods we investigated previously [9,10], this configuration is more efficient and simple.

The experimental setup is an extended and improved version of our previous setup [9]. In short, we start with a beam of metastable atoms produced by a liquid-nitrogen cooled dc-discharge source. The atomic beam is collimated, deflected, and slowed by applying laser beams resonant with the $2-\bar{S}_1 \rightarrow 2-\bar{P}_2$ transition at 1083 nm. Typically $2 \times 10^9$ atoms are loaded into a magneto-optical trap (MOT) at a temperature of 1 mK. Since our previous experiments [9] we have installed a new ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber and magnetic trap. The coils for our cloverleaf magnetic trap are placed in water-cooled plastic boxes and positioned in re-entrant windows. Inside the UHV chamber two MCP detectors and an rf coil are mounted. The first MCP detector is positioned ~10 cm from the trap center and attracts positively charged ions produced in Penning ionizing collisions: He⁺+He⁺→He⁺+He(1S)+e⁻ (or He⁺+He⁺→He⁺⁺+e⁻). These ionization processes are the primary loss mechanisms in cold clouds of He⁺. A second (identical) MCP detector shielded by a grounded grid is positioned 17 cm below the trap center and detects neutral He⁺ atoms that fall upon it. This detector is mounted on a translation stage and can be displaced horizontally to allow a vertical laser beam to pass through the trap center for absorption imaging. Absorption imaging of the MOT cloud determines the number of atoms in the MOT with an accuracy of about 20% [9]. This is used to calibrate the He⁺ MCP detector, where the large dynamic range is incorporated by changing the MCP voltage. The gain of the detector at different voltages is also calibrated using the very stable MOT signal. When the MOT is loaded we switch off all currents and laser beams. In an applied weak magnetic field we spin-polarize the cloud (atoms are pumped into the $m=+1$ magnetic sublevel) and switch on the currents of the cloverleaf magnetic trap. Typically ~60% of the atoms is transferred from the MOT into the magnetic trap. We operate the cloverleaf trap at a bias magnetic field $B_y=24$ G to suppress excitation of depolarizing transitions in the subsequent one-dimensional Doppler cooling stage.

One-dimensional Doppler cooling starts at the same time the cloverleaf trap is switched on. It is implemented by retroreflecting a weak circularly polarized laser beam along the (horizontal) symmetry axis of the magnetic field. During the cooling pulse the temperature decreases, reducing the size and increasing the optical thickness. Cooling in the radial directions relies on reabsorption of spontaneously emitted
red-detuned photons by the optically thick cloud [8]. Other possible energy redistribution mechanisms are collisional thermalization and anharmonic mixing. While the collision rate increases from 1.5 to 20 s\(^{-1}\) during Doppler cooling, anharmonic mixing is negligible in our trap. With a laser detuning of one natural linewidth below the resonance frequency for an atom at rest in the center of the trap and an intensity of \(10^{-3}I_{\text{sat}} = 0.17 \text{ mW/cm}^2\), optimum cooling is realized in 2 s. In a separate realization \(10^8 \; ^{3}\text{He}^*\) atoms were loaded into the magnetic trap and cooled using the same technique. For identical fermions \(s\)-wave collisions are forbidden, while the contribution of the higher-order partial waves is highly suppressed in this temperature range for \(\text{He}^*\).

We observed a temperature decrease from 1 to 0.15 mK, which suggests that reabsorption of red-detuned photons scattered by atoms in the cloud is the main cooling mechanism in the radial direction.

Figure 1 shows two time-of-flight (TOF) traces, illustrating the effect of one-dimensional Doppler cooling in our cloverleaf magnetic trap. We typically trap \(N = 1.5 \times 10^9\) atoms, which are cooled to a temperature \(T = 0.13\) mK, three times the Doppler limit. This implies an increase in phase-space density by a factor of \(\sim 600\), while practically no atoms are lost from the trap. For comparison, reaching this temperature by means of rf-induced evaporative cooling would result in the loss of \(\sim 90\%\) of the atoms from the trap.

In previous experiments [9,10] we applied three-dimensional Doppler cooling or a two-color magneto-optical trap to improve the starting conditions for evaporative cooling. An intensity imbalance, caused by the optically thick atomic clouds, and ballistic expansion during the cooling process limited the phase-space density achievable with traditional three-dimensional molasses to \(\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}\) and temperatures to \(\sim 0.4\) mK [9]. Compared with three-dimensional molasses, one-dimensional Doppler cooling in the magnetic trap provides lower temperatures, more than a factor of 100 higher phase-space density, and is easier to implement. At this point the lifetime of the atoms in the magnetic trap is about 3 min, limited by collisions with background gas. To compress the cloud we reduce the bias field in the trap center to 3 G in 2.5 s, which increases the temperature to 0.2 mK.

The parameters of our magnetic trap then are modest: the axial and radial trap frequencies are \(\omega_z/2\pi = 47 \pm 1\) Hz and \(\omega_r/2\pi = 237 \pm 4\) Hz, respectively. Higher frequencies are possible but are not required to achieve BEC. Our procedure is similar to previous experiments on evaporative cooling in our group [11]. After compression we cool the gas by rf-induced evaporative cooling in 12 s to BEC, which is achieved at a temperature of \(\sim 2\) \(\mu\)K. We apply a single exponential rf ramp, starting at 50 MHz. The frequency decreases to zero but the ramp is terminated at \(\sim 8.4\) MHz. Shorter ramps, down to 2 s, also produce a condensate, albeit with fewer atoms.

The most sensitive method to detect BEC is TOF analysis of the expanding cloud on the \(\text{He}^*\) MCP detector. A typical TOF signal, obtained in a single shot, is shown in Fig. 2(a). This signal is used to determine the number of atoms in the condensate as well as in the thermal cloud. In contrast to the Orsay experiments [3], all atoms stay in the \(m = +1\) sublevel during the trap switch-off. Applying the MCP calibration, the area under the fitted curve determines the number of atoms that have hit the detector. When we consider a thermal cloud, this number is only a small fraction of the total number of thermal atoms \(N_{\text{th}}\). Therefore the determination of \(N_{\text{th}}\) relies
upon the measured temperature and the MCP calibration. The condensate expansion, determined by the mean-field interaction energy, is much slower. Thus the condensate will fall completely on the detector sensitive area (diameter of 1.45 cm), allowing us to measure the number of condensed atoms $N_0$ using the MCP calibration alone. The maximum number of atoms in the condensate deduced in this way is $1 \times 10^7$. This number is an underestimate due to MCP saturation effects, which will be discussed below. It is possible to monitor a trajectory of the cloud in three dimensions using absorption imaging (horizontal plane) and MCP detector (vertical direction). By extrapolating ballistic expansion the position and size of the condensate at arrival on the detector can be predicted very well. A small magnetic field gradient is applied to correct the center of mass trajectory, when it deviates from that of a mass undergoing free fall. With stronger field pulses we can also push the cloud down, toward the detector, and this way realize shorter expansion times, down to $t \sim 100$ ms. The model used to fit the time-of-flight signals of the partly condensed clouds [Fig. 2(a)] is a combination of a thermal part (Bose distribution) and a condensate part (parabolic distribution). The chemical potential $\mu$, the number of atoms, and the temperature $T$ are the free parameters of the fit; effects of interactions are not included in the function used for the thermal part. In the Thomas-Fermi limit [12], the chemical potential is given by $\mu = \frac{5}{2} \hbar^2 m^{1/2} 2^{-5/2} \omega a$, where $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant divided by $2\pi$, $\omega$ is the geometric mean of the trap frequencies, $m$ is the mass of the helium atom, and $a = 7.512(5)$ nm [5] is the scattering length. A maximum value of $\mu$ extracted from the fit of the TOF signal is $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-29}$ J, which corresponds to $5.1 \times 10^7$ atoms in the condensate. A possible cause for the discrepancy between the number of atoms determined from the integrated signal and from the measurement of the chemical potential may be saturation of the MCP when detecting a falling condensate (peak flux of $\sim 10^9$ atom/s); this leads to an underestimation of $N_0$ as well as $\mu$. Another possible cause is distortion of the velocity distribution during the trap switch-off and influence of remaining magnetic field gradients on the expansion of the cloud. This may lead to an overestimation of $\mu$, and therefore also of $N_0$.

When the MCP detector is shifted horizontally, we can detect the condensate on a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. A weak ($I = 10^{-5} I_{\text{sat}}$), 50 $\mu$s long on-resonance laser pulse is applied to image the shadow of the atoms on the CCD camera for which a quantum efficiency of $\sim 1.6\%$ is measured at 1083 nm. As expected, the condensate expands anisotropically, while the thermal cloud shows an isotropic expansion [Fig. 2(b)]. Absolute calibration of the number of atoms at micro-Kelvin temperatures could not be performed by optical means. The analysis of the absorption images, taken between 1 and 70 ms after the trap was switched off, shows that the condensate expansion deviates from the theoretical predictions [13]: it expands faster than expected in the radial direction and slower in the axial. From these observations we conclude that the expansion of the cloud is influenced by magnetic field gradients during the switch-off of the trap. A difference in the switch-off times of the axial and radial confinement could cause an additional imbalance in the redistribution of the condensate interaction energy between the two directions. This may influence the measurements of both the chemical potential and the temperature. In order to check if the interaction energy is conserved, we extract the asymptotic kinetic energy gained during the expansion from absorption images of the cloud [14]. In the Thomas-Fermi approximation this so-called release energy should equal the interaction energy in the trap. We obtain $N_0 = 4 \times 10^7$ from this analysis assuming that no extra energy is added to (or taken from) the system during the trap switch-off. This is not exactly fulfilled in our case as switching is not fast enough to ensure diabaticity.

To verify our TOF signal analysis, we plot the chemical potential as a function of $N_0^{2/5}$ using data obtained from the MCP measurements (here $N_0$ is the number of condensed atoms measured by integrating the MCP current). The data points lie on a straight line, which goes through zero with a slope larger than expected, meaning that either $\mu$ is overestimated or $N_0$ is underestimated. The former is supported by the analysis of the absorption images so we correct $\mu$. The corrected data points as well as the theoretical line are presented in the inset of Fig. 3. The plot also shows that the MCP detector saturates, when the number of atoms in the condensate exceeds $\sim 10^5$. When we now extract the number of atoms from the measured chemical potential, after the correction for the distortion during the trap switch-off, we find $N_0 = 1.5 \times 10^7$ in our largest condensates. This number is still a lower limit, as the analysis assumes that $\mu$ is not affected by saturation of the MCP detector. We, however, measure a reduction in $\mu$ when we push the BEC toward the detector, thus increasing the saturation problem.

With a second MCP detector we observed the growth and decay of our condensate by counting the ions produced during evaporative cooling. Due to the increase in density the ion signal increases, although the number of trapped atoms decreases. When BEC sets in, a sharp increase is expected, indicating the formation of a dense cloud in the center of the trap [3]. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2(c), which shows the growth of the condensate as well as its decay.

The dynamics of formation and decay of the condensate is
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an interesting aspect that was discussed and investigated earlier to some extent [15]. In our group a model was developed describing the decay of the condensate in the presence of a thermal fraction [16]. The model assumes thermalization to be fast compared to the rate of change in thermodynamic variables, so the system remains in thermal equilibrium during the decay. It was shown that under this assumption a transfer of atoms should occur from the condensate to the thermal cloud, enhancing the condensate decay rate. To verify this, we performed measurements of the BEC lifetime using the TOF signal. Due to the high detection efficiency it was possible to detect a condensate up to 75 s after it was produced. Results of these measurements are summarized in Fig. 3. We fit the model to the experimental data for a quasipure BEC decay; two- and three-body loss rate constants are used as free parameters. Good agreement with the experiment is found for two- and three-body loss rate constants $\beta = 2 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ and $L = 9 \times 10^{-27}$ cm$^6$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, which compares well with theory [17]. However, independent analysis of the ion signal of the cloud at critical temperature suggests that these numbers are upper limits and that there may be additional losses that we have not accounted for. When we use the extracted values of $\beta$ and $L$ in our model for the decay of the condensate in the presence of a thermal fraction, the dashed curve included in Fig. 3 is obtained. The agreement with the experiment is good, so we can conclude that the model reproduces the data.

To summarize, we have realized a condensate of $^4$He containing more than $1.5 \times 10^7$ atoms and studied its growth and decay by measuring the ion production rate in situ, observing its ballistic expansion by absorption imaging and by recording the time-of-flight signal on an MCP detector. The main ingredient that made this large atom number possible is one-dimensional Doppler cooling in the magnetic trap. We demonstrated that this technique can also be applied to cool spin-polarized helium fermions, where the Pauli principle forbids s-wave collisions. Combining both isotopes in one setup may allow the observation of Fermi degeneracy in boson-fermion mixtures of metastable atoms.
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